Unilever’s Palm Oil Grievance Tracker Updated July 30, 2021

This document lists grievances that have been raised to Unilever concerning our palm oil supply chain. Unilever defines a palm oil grievance as a complaint sent to Unilever about an alleged breach of Unilever’s People and Nature Policy (PDF|2.04MB). Unilever works closely with our suppliers, grievance raisers, and other stakeholders to investigate and resolve issues within our supply chain in a timely, transparent, and effective manner.

### A. Direct suppliers¹ with supply chain grievances

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Supplier Name</th>
<th>References</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ADM</td>
<td>A4, A6, B6, B16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Apical/Asian Agri</td>
<td>A4, A7, B15, B18, B29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bunge LC/Oil</td>
<td>A1, A4, A6, A7, B6, B16, B18, B19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cargill</td>
<td>A4, A6, A7, A11, A14, B6, B9, B15, B16, B18, B19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Emami Agrotech</td>
<td>A9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GAR</td>
<td>A3, A4, A6, A7, A14, B4, B5, B6, B14, B15, B16, B19, B20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KLK</td>
<td>A2, A7, B16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Louis Dreyfus</td>
<td>A4, B16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Musim Mas</td>
<td>A4, A6, A7, B3, B4, B5, B6, B8, B10, B14, B15, B16, B19, B20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sime Darby</td>
<td>A4, A6, A7, A10, A15, B16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wilmar</td>
<td>A4, A5, A6, A7, A8, B4, B5, B6, B7, B9, B10, B11, B14, B15, B16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Karyaindah Alam Sejahtera</td>
<td>A13</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### B. Third-party/indirect suppliers² with supply chain grievances

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Supplier Name</th>
<th>References</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Agra Sumi Niaga</td>
<td>A6, B5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Amanah Saham Pahang</td>
<td>B25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anglo-Eastern Plantations</td>
<td>B11, B15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Astra Agro Lestari</td>
<td>B27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Austindo Nusantara Jaya</td>
<td>B4, B15, B16, B18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bintang Harapan Desa</td>
<td>B13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Best Group</td>
<td>B7, B22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BLD Plantations</td>
<td>B18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bumitama</td>
<td>B15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CAA/First Resources</td>
<td>B10, B18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Capitol Group</td>
<td>B24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CB Industrial Product Holdings</td>
<td>B24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Central Cipta Murdya/Hardaya Group</td>
<td>B15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Citra Borneo Indah/PT SSMS</td>
<td>B2, B15, B18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Djarum</td>
<td>B15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DTK Opportunity</td>
<td>B15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Felda, FGV, Felda-IFFCO</td>
<td>B1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GAMA/Ganda (now KPN)</td>
<td>A8, B15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Genting</td>
<td>B15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Global Sawit Semesta</td>
<td>B20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HSA Group</td>
<td>B12, B15, B16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IJM Corporation</td>
<td>B15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Indodoflo Agri (Salim)</td>
<td>B3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Indonusa</td>
<td>B15, B22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>JA Wattie</td>
<td>B16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jhomin group/Batulicin Agro</td>
<td>B18, B22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Korindo</td>
<td>B15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lao Bangko</td>
<td>B19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mulia Sawit Agro</td>
<td>B18, B22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Musirawas</td>
<td>B16, B22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Noble Group</td>
<td>B8, B15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NPC Resources</td>
<td>B15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Peputra Group</td>
<td>B22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PKPP Plantation Sdn Bhd</td>
<td>B25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PT Perkebunan Nusantara I</td>
<td>B19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pundi Lahan Khatulistiwa Group</td>
<td>B17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>REPSA</td>
<td>B9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rimbunan Hijau</td>
<td>B15, B28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Samling/Gleneray</td>
<td>B15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Samudera Sawit Nabati</td>
<td>B20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Samuel</td>
<td>B16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sungai Budi Group/Tunas Baru Lampung</td>
<td>B15, B22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Surya Panen Subur II</td>
<td>A6, B6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tabung Haji Plantations</td>
<td>B14, B15, B16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tee family/Prosper Group</td>
<td>B15, B18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yayasan Pahang (YP)</td>
<td>B25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>YPI Plantations Sdn Bhd</td>
<td>B26</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

This grievance tracker represents the status of the listed grievances to the best of Unilever’s knowledge at the time of its publication. Unilever relies on correspondence with its suppliers and grievance raisers as to the accuracy and correctness of the grievances raised by them and pursues resolution of all credible grievances. As such, references to grievances, supply chains, and remediation steps below are all made on the assumption that information and grievances shared with Unilever are correct. Unilever is not endorsing or claiming as factually correct any accusation or grievance, notwithstanding that Unilever is investigating or is sharing external links to or details of any grievance or other matter. References to a commercial decision by Unilever to no longer source palm oil from a supplier group should not be assumed to be a confirmation of fault of any kind.

¹ Direct suppliers have a contract with Unilever and directly supply Unilever’s palm oil.
² Indirect/third-party suppliers do not have a contract with Unilever, but our direct suppliers are currently sourcing from or had previously declared sourcing palm oil from them (up to a mill level).
## A. Grievances against direct suppliers

Direct suppliers have a contract with Unilever and directly supply Unilever’s palm oil.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Ref.</th>
<th>Date first raised</th>
<th>Stakeholder</th>
<th>Subject matter of grievance allegation</th>
<th>Supplier(s) and palm oil link to Unilever SC</th>
<th>Latest Unilever and Unilever supplier actions to address grievance allegations</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| A14  | June 2021         | Forest People’s Programme | Allegation of ongoing violation of human rights  
A report compiled by TuK Indonesia, PUSAKA, Walhi, and Forest Peoples Programme contains allegations of unresolved human rights grievances at ten plantation companies linked to global brands. | Unilever direct suppliers were mentioned in the report:  
- GAR/Sinar Mas, PT Kresna Duta Agroindo  
- Cargill, PT Harapan Sawit Lestari (see also: A11) | • Unilever reached out to Cargill and GAR on the allegations of unresolved grievance raised in the report (June 2021).  
• GAR responded that the allegations refer to issues that have been resolved in 2016 and closed at the RSPO, and there are no outstanding disputes with the community at PT KDA. This resolution is based on an agreement between all parties and the implementation of action plans on the ground. More detailed information can be found on the RSPO case tracker and GAR’s grievance list and report on the case.  
• Cargill responded to points raised in the allegation in detail, and that the case has been settled with the complainant and the Asam Besar communities and closed by the RSPO Complaints Panel in September 2020. More detailed information can be found on the RSPO case tracker and Cargill’s public response to the report here.  
• Both suppliers have followed the independent complaints process at the RSPO, and information on the process is available publicly on the RSPO website and suppliers’ website. |
| A13  | May 2020          | Mighty Earth | Allegations of NDPE noncompliance  
Mighty Earth raised its Rapid Response (RR) report number 27 (May 2020), 28, (June 2020), and 29 (July 2020).  
Cases involving a direct supplier we reviewed to be in scope of our grievance process is Gawi (RR 27) through our previous link with PT Karyaindah Alam Sejahtera.  
Cases involving allegations of third-party or indirect suppliers in the RR are found in ref. B24. | PT Karyaindah Alam Sejahtera (part of Gawi) is no longer a Unilever direct supplier since Q2 2020. | • Unilever ran a review on all cases raised in the Rapid Response reports, by comparing allegations with Unilever’s internal deforestation alert and monitoring system with supply chain links to Unilever. We excluded cases of which there was insufficient information in the report to be verified (e.g. lack of precise location of where the alleged case deforestation took place) and palm oil companies which Unilever had already imposed a procurement suspension.  
• Previously in March 2020, Unilever had reached out to Gawi on the clearing in its concession PT Indopenta Sejahtera Abadi detected through our internal deforestation alert and monitoring system.  
• Unilever no longer sources directly from PT Karyaindah Alam Sejahtera at a group level (Gawi). |
| A12  | November 2019     | Greenpeace  
2019 | Allegations of noncompliance with regards to forest fires  
Greenpeace published a report titled “Burning down the house” with allegations that consumer good companies including Unilever are purchasing palm oil from producers linked to forest fires and operations subject to court action, administrative sanctions, or other | Several Unilever direct suppliers were mentioned in the report:  
- Cargill  
- GAR/Sinar Mas  
- KLK  
- Musim Mas | • Prior to the report publication on November 4, Greenpeace sent Unilever a letter detailing a new publication on October 30 (2019).  
• Unilever reached out to its direct suppliers to verify the allegations: Apical, Cargill, KLK, Musim Mas, PTPN, Sime Darby, GAR, and Wilmar, requesting clarification on fires and hotspots in the suppliers’ direct operations, sealed operations or fines, links to third-party suppliers linked to forest fires in 2015-2018, and burnt areas in 2019 detected by Unilever’s monitoring, if any (November 2019). |
government intervention as a result of fires in the past few years.

A related press release on transboundary haze was also published in November 2019.

The remaining suppliers listed, while not direct suppliers to Unilever, may still be indirectly linked to the Unilever supply chain. See B21.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Company</th>
<th>Actions</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Perkebunan Nusantara (PTPN)</td>
<td>Published a sustainability brief on the matter (October 2019).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sime Darby</td>
<td>Sent a letter to buyers clarifying data cited in the report, including on allegations of burnt area and hotspots in its concessions between 2015-2018 (November 2019). Similar details on the clarification can be found on a Musim Mas statement here.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wilmar</td>
<td>Clarified following an earlier public statement (September 2019) that, in the case of PT Adei, KLK was found negligent in not preventing perpetrators from starting a fire in the plasma area. The reported sentencing of PT Adei’s directors were not fire-related but rather for operating the plantation without a plantation permit (IUP) despite the area being a joint community project and owned by the community. Investigation for 2019 fires at PT Adei is still ongoing.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Musim Mas</td>
<td>With regards to Greenpeace allegations, had published a statement responding to the report (November 2019). In addition to these allegations, Unilever inquired about two concessions where significant peat fires were detected in 2019. GAR had documented these incidences in its Fire Incident Report online and provided information on its actions to put out the fire and prevent future fires and maintain peat hydrology under drought conditions.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sime Darby</td>
<td>Issued a statement prior to the Greenpeace haze press release, which includes clarification on the data sources and method in its hotspots monitoring, available publicly on its hotspots dashboard. Responding to Unilever, Sime Darby provided number of hotspots monitored, causes of these hotspots as a result of investigation, and supply chain links to third-party suppliers listed in the Greenpeace report. Sime Darby had also released a statement with regards to allegations surrounding PT Sime Indo Agro (SIA).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cargill</td>
<td>Responded by outlining action plans taken if a fire inside plantation is detected, and stated that recent reports were started “in enclaved areas owned by the community” and despite not having control over actions of the communities, they deployed firefighting team to fight the fires.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PTN III Holdings Secretariat</td>
<td>Responded to Unilever that the allegations had not been raised to them directly. PTN Holdings stated it has not opened a new land in 2015-2018, and new planting and conversion to palm are undertaken using a no-burning principle. They will investigate the allegation of burned areas between 2015-2018. PTN stated it monitors hotspots and mobilizes emergency teams when fires are detected.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unilever</td>
<td>Takes this issue seriously and will continue to engage with its suppliers to resolve any noncompliance with regard to fires.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A11</td>
<td>April 2019</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----</td>
<td>------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>A10</th>
<th>March 2019</th>
<th>Forest Peoples Programme, TUK Indonesia</th>
<th>Allegations of land conflict</th>
<th>Sime Darby Plantation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>FPP published a press release with allegations that PT Mitra Austral Sejahtera (MAS), a Sime Darby subsidiary, has not fulfilled its responsibility to resolve the land conflict since 1999 with the Dayak Hibun indigenous people in Kerunang and Entapang, West Kalimantan. Sime Darby is reported to have divested from PT MAS. In May 2019, FFP and TUK Indonesia filed a formal grievance to Unilever.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Sime Darby Plantation</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>In April 2019, Unilever engaged Sime Darby to request clarification on the allegations.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Sime Darby responded confirming Sime Darby’s intention to sell PT MAS, per a statement on Sime Darby’s website.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Unilever requested more information regarding the statement.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Unilever is also monitoring the progress of this case at the RSPO Complaints Panel. RSPO has previously issued a letter on Sime Darby’s plans to divest from PT MAS.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Unilever further discussed this with Sime Darby in June 2019.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>After further legal review, in which Unilever sought third-party legal advice, Unilever found the grievance to be outside of Unilever’s supply chain at the time it was raised to Unilever.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>The RSPO Secretariat has finalised the legal review of parties involved and is preparing a Briefing Note (March 2020).</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>RSPO Complaints Panel final directive was furnished to both parties (July 2021).</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| A9 | November 2018 | Chain Reaction Research | **Absence of NDPE policies allegation**  
In November 2018, Chain Reaction Research reached out to Unilever with a draft of their report “Leakage Risks in India, 58 Percent of Palm Oil Imports Not Covered by NDPE Policies”.  
Emami Agrotech, a refinery supplying to Unilever, is allegedly operating without a public NDPE policy, through which unsustainable palm oil may enter the Indian and Pakistani supply chains of Unilever. | Emami Agrotech  
• Unilever engaged with Chain Reaction Research regarding the publication of the report (November 2018).  
• Unilever has reached out to Emami Agrotech to understand their response to the report. We reiterated that Unilever’s Sustainable Palm Oil Sourcing Policy applies to all our direct and indirect palm oil suppliers. We are investigating further whether the supplier is sourcing from palm oil companies who have been alleged and verified as being non-NDPE compliant (December 2018).  
• Unilever is following up on this allegation with Emami in May 2019.  
• Unilever’s last shipment from Emami was in H1 2018, and currently has no direct contract with Emami. |
| A8 | June 2018 | Greenpeace | **NDPE non-compliance allegation**  
Greenpeace report titled “Rogue Trader”, alleges that Wilmar International is still linked to forest destruction especially in Papua through “GAMA Group”, a group of palm oil companies owned and run by senior Wilmar executives and members of their family.  
GAMA/Ganda group is also included in the Greenpeace list of allegedly NDPE non-compliant companies for deforestation issues in the “Final Countdown” (see B15). | Wilmar  
• Wilmar provided Unilever with a letter regarding its response to Greenpeace on the “Rogue Trader” report (June 2018). Wilmar had ceased sourcing from the GAMA group.  
• Wilmar has written to the suppliers allegedly linked to the “GAMA Group” to clarify the allegations. Progress in the cases involving Wilmar’s supply base can be found on Wilmar’s grievance list.  
• GAMA and Aidenvironment entered a collaborative agreement to develop and implement a sustainability policy framework. A full joint statement is provided here.  
• The GAMA NDPE policy was released in September 2018.  
• Gama Plantations issued its first NDPE progress report in February 2019.  
• Wilmar had stated that, based on a review of progress and after consultation with Aidenvironment on the group’s performance and commitment, that it is lifting the suspension on GAMA group in March 2019. More information is available on Wilmar’s grievance list. |
| A7 | May 2018 | Greenpeace | **NDPE non-compliance allegation**  
In a letter to major palm oil buyers, Greenpeace listed alleged 26 NDPE non-compliant palm oil producer groups (May 2018).  
In September 2018, Greenpeace published “Final Countdown,” a report on the 26 companies previously reported, and the brands and traders’ alleged non-implementation of NDPE commitments in the context of their entire palm oil supply chains. | Unilever’s direct suppliers in the Greenpeace list:  
• Bunge/OI  
• Wilmar  
The remaining companies in the list, while not direct suppliers to Unilever, may still be in the supply chain through Unilever’s direct suppliers e.g. (not exhaustive):  
• Unilever issued a response letter to Greenpeace, outlining views on the key challenges raised i.e. sourcing links with highlighted producer groups, NDPE compliance and verification, and multi-stakeholder approach for industry reform. The letter also included sourcing links and actions taken concerning each supplier on the list (June 2018).  
• Unilever reached out to direct suppliers in the list (Wilmar, Bunge/OI) to better understand the NDPE non-compliance allegations raised by Greenpeace (June 2018).  
• Unilever engaged independent consultants to identify which mills of the listed companies are in Unilever’s mills list, to get better clarity of how the listed companies may be within Unilever’s supply chain (June 2018). |
- Bunge/IOI
- Wilmar
- Sime Darby
- KLK
- GAR
- Apical
- Cargill
- Musim Mas

See B15.

- Unilever issued a response to the Greenpeace campaign “Final Countdown”. The response can be accessed [here](http://example.com) (September 2018).
- Unilever reached out to its major direct suppliers that source from companies in the list (i.e. Bunge/IOI, Wilmar, Sime Darby, KLK, GAR, Apical, Cargill, and Musim Mas) to identify each major supplier’s position on the grievance and sourcing from the listed companies.
- Bunge responded to Greenpeace regarding the allegations against IOI connected to the Pelita case (see related grievances). Bunge responded that the Pelita case is well documented on several grievance lists (e.g. Bunge grievance list September 2018, IOI Group, RSPO). In September 2018, Bunge also issued a response to the “Final Countdown” report, which they communicated to Unilever.
- Wilmar responded to Greenpeace with a document also shared with Unilever. Wilmar outlined engagements with the listed companies on their public grievance list. As a response to the “Final Countdown” report, Wilmar issued a public statement, which they also communicated to Unilever (September 2018).
- GAR issued a letter to customers, including Unilever, outlining GAR’s sourcing from the listed groups. Details on GAR’s engagements with listed companies in their supply chain are available on their public grievance list. With regards to the “Final Countdown” report, GAR issued an advisory to its customers (September 2018).
- Cargill provided a response to Unilever and updated on engagements with their suppliers on the list. Engagements with listed companies are also on their public grievance list. Cargill issued a statement to buyers responding to the “Final Countdown” report (September 2018).
- On the Final Countdown report, IOI published its official statement (October 2018).
- Musim Mas issued a response to Unilever. Engagements with the listed companies are also available on their public grievance list.
- We have been informed that Apical is engaging directly with Greenpeace on these allegations.
- Sime Darby and KLK issued a response to Unilever and they are working on these allegations. More information is outlined in Sime Darby’s [Grievance Register](http://example.com) and KLK’s [Grievance List](http://example.com).
In December 2018, Wilmar and Aidenvironment issued a joint statement on a new supplier monitoring and engagement programme that aims to accelerate efforts towards a deforestation-free palm oil industry. Unilever and Mondelez are supporting signatories to the joint statement.

Unilever sent an email to all of Unilever’s direct palm oil suppliers, reinforcing compliance to Unilever’s Sustainable Palm Oil Sourcing Policy by stating that: in the event an allegation of a breach on No Deforestation, No Peat and No Exploitation (NDPE) commitments is found, then, consistent with a verification process, the allegation must be verified by the supplier with its direct and indirect third parties in a timely manner, and if there is confirmation of non-compliance, or a lack of substantive response to the verification process, then consistent with Unilever’s published Grievance Procedure, Unilever requires its direct suppliers to impose a procurement suspension of the direct or indirect third-party palm oil supplier from supplies to Unilever’s supply chain (April 2019).

| A6 | November 2016 | Rainforest Action Network (RAN) | Deforestation in Leuser Ecosystem (Aceh) allegation | Unilever does not have any direct business relationship with PT Surya Panen Subur II (PT SPS II) but may be exposed to the company through its suppliers. In the reports, RAN highlighted three of Unilever’s suppliers: Wilmar, Musim Mas, and Golden Agri. Other suppliers and traders include ADM, Bunge, Cargill, and Sime Darby. Unilever does not have any direct business relationship with any of the twelve companies raised by RAN in May 2019 but may be exposed to

| A6 | November 2016 | Rainforest Action Network (RAN) | Deforestation in Leuser Ecosystem (Aceh) allegation | Unilever does not have any direct business relationship with PT Surya Panen Subur II (PT SPS II) but may be exposed to the company through its suppliers. In the reports, RAN highlighted three of Unilever’s suppliers: Wilmar, Musim Mas, and Golden Agri. Other suppliers and traders include ADM, Bunge, Cargill, and Sime Darby. Unilever does not have any direct business relationship with any of the twelve companies raised by RAN in May 2019 but may be exposed to

- In December 2018, Wilmar and Aidenvironment issued a joint statement on a new supplier monitoring and engagement programme that aims to accelerate efforts towards a deforestation-free palm oil industry. Unilever and Mondelez are supporting signatories to the joint statement.
- Unilever sent an email to all of Unilever’s direct palm oil suppliers, reinforcing compliance to Unilever’s Sustainable Palm Oil Sourcing Policy by stating that: in the event an allegation of a breach on No Deforestation, No Peat and No Exploitation (NDPE) commitments is found, then, consistent with a verification process, the allegation must be verified by the supplier with its direct and indirect third parties in a timely manner, and if there is confirmation of non-compliance, or a lack of substantive response to the verification process, then consistent with Unilever’s published Grievance Procedure, Unilever requires its direct suppliers to impose a procurement suspension of the direct or indirect third-party palm oil supplier from supplies to Unilever’s supply chain (April 2019).

- Unilever has been engaging with RAN and major direct suppliers on this grievance.
- In 2016, Wilmar, GAR, Musim Mas, Apical with stakeholders including The Forest Trust (TFT) and Rainforest Action Network (RAN) earmarked Aceh Singkil and Aceh Tamiang of the Leuser Ecosystem as the area of first priority for the landscape approach “Areal Prioritas Transformasi (APT). These APT areas were included in a deforestation monitoring initiative developed by TFT and partners.
- GAR and PT SPS II agreed on site visits, action plan, progress updates and next steps, one of which was to conduct participatory mapping that was also carried out (October 2016).
- Musim Mas does not list SPS II in their grievance list but have engaged with other suppliers in the Singkil regency (Pati Sari, Sisirau, Mopoli Raya, Ensem Sawita, Ensem Lestari, Banung Sempura Lestari). In August 2017, Ensem Sawita (supplier to Wilmar and Musim Mas) confirmed that all purchasing from PT ABN had ceased (see B5).
- Musim Mas engaged with Ensem Sawita, who has now committed to an action plan in collaboration with Musim Mas and GAR to ensure that its sustainability practices are in line with policy commitments. More information available on Musim Mas grievance list (September 2017).
A related grievance for a third-party supplier PT ABN is presented in B5.

In May 2019, RAN submitted to Unilever ongoing grievances on twelve palm oil companies in Aceh Timur that have allegedly continued clearing forests within the Leuser Ecosystem in 2019. For more information on these companies, see B19.

In September 2019, RAN published “The Last of the Leuser Lowlands” a report alleging link between palm fruits harvested in the Rawa Singkil Wildlife Reserve and the supply chain of brands such as Unilever. For more information on this report, see B20.

• GAR supported PT SPS in their Collaboration for Transformation (CFT) programme, which completed conflict resolution process implementation (December 2017).
• GAR and SPS II discussed and agreed upon actions for conflict resolution, which included building and improving communication with “land clearing actors”, local, provincial, and international stakeholders, including RAN (June 2018).
• A meeting was held between GAR and SPS II management, during which GAR asked for a more concrete solution for the ongoing deforestation caused by encroachment in SPS II concession (July 2018). More information on GAR grievance list.
• Wilmar reported that PT SPS II has also been transparent in updating Wilmar regularly on their progress in addressing issues raised by RAN, and Wilmar continue to support PT SPS II in addressing challenges of alleged occupation on the unopened area, that was set aside under the moratorium. Wilmar has not sourced from PT SPS II since June 2018. Field verification by ministry officials (KLHK) and Wilmar’s meeting with PT SPS II took place (July 2018). Wilmar continues to follow up with PT SPS II on their progress in addressing the challenges of illegal occupation on the unopened area, that was set aside under the moratorium. More information available on Wilmar’s grievance list.
• Wilmar and GAR are also engaged with other suppliers in associated grievances in the Leuser area e.g. PT Ensem Sawita, Patisari, PT Dua Perkasa Lestari, PT Agra Bumi Niaga, and Koperasi Prima Jasa.
• Unilever reached out to direct suppliers to understand their sourcing relationships with PT SPS II. Most of Unilever’s direct suppliers have confirmed that they have ceased sourcing from PT SPS II, and one is halting new procurement and asking PT SPS II to create and execute a time-bound plan by the end of 2018 (October 2018).
• ADM did not directly source from PT SPS II. ADM’s engagement with their direct suppliers is available on their Grievance Log (October 2018).
• Bunge does not directly supply from the area but may be indirectly exposed through traders. As of December 2018, PT SPS II is no longer in Bunge’s supply chain. Source: Bunge Loders Croklaan grievance list.
• Cargill will be discussing with direct suppliers on milestones required for SPS II to demonstrate compliance with their policy. Surya Panen Subur II is no longer in Cargill’s supply chain. Source: Cargill indirect grievance list.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>AS</th>
<th>November 2016</th>
<th>Amnesty International</th>
<th>Non-compliant labor and working conditions allegation</th>
<th>Wilmar</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Amnesty report titled &quot;The Great Palm Oil Scandal&quot; reports allegations surrounding labor conditions in Wilmar’s direct and indirect operations (November 2016). &lt;br&gt;Amnesty report alleges that Wilmar tried to intimidate staff into denying the claims, and urges the Indonesian Government to investigate labour practices (March 2017).</td>
<td></td>
<td>Unilever issued a public response to the report (November 2016).&lt;br&gt;Unilever has engaged with Amnesty International and Wilmar to discuss the allegations and action plans to take in 2017.&lt;br&gt;Wilmar published an update on pushing for industry wide collaboration with Verite and BSR, and released an action plan for PT Perkebunan Milano and PT Daya Labuan Indah (April 2017).&lt;br&gt;Internal investigation was carried out by the Wilmar Sustainability team (May 2017).&lt;br&gt;Unilever participated in a BSR social sustainability workshop held by Wilmar for its main suppliers (November 2017).&lt;br&gt;Wilmar released a 12-month progress report (December 2017).</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Wilmar issued an update that they are working with Verité to review and improve the living and working environment of their employees (February 2018).

Amnesty sent a letter to major buyers of Wilmar regarding follow up action of the report (March 2018), to which Unilever replied with a public response (March 2018).

Wilmar continued to engage with Amnesty International (June 2018).

Wilmar issued a two-year progress report (November 2018).

Verité issued an update on Wilmar's program (November 2018).

Amnesty sent an update letter to Unilever on the case (March 2019).

Wilmar reached out to its stakeholders on the actions taken in an update to all parties (March 2019). In this update, reference was made to the five points raised by Amnesty International, as well as previous updates sent to stakeholders in November 2018.

---

### Allegation of sourcing of FFB from national park encroachment in Riau

Eyes on the Forest published “Enough is Enough” in June 2018, a report identifying 22 palm oil mills allegedly purchasing palm fruit bunch from alleged encroachments inside the Tesso Nilo National Park (TNNP) and Bukit Tigapuluh landscapes in Riau. Findings from 2017 investigation are combined with those from an earlier report, “No one is safe” published in 2016.

The allegation also includes that crude palm oil from these mills was purchased by major palm oil traders and growers, such as the “Big 4” (Wilmar, Musim Mas, Golden Agri Resources, Apical and Asian Agri); eventually making its way to the supply chain of Unilever and other buyers with NDPE commitments.

Out of 22 mills around Tesso Nilo and Bukit Tigapuluh landscapes that are the subject of the grievance, 19 mills potentially supply to Unilever through our direct suppliers:

- Wilmar
- Musim Mas
- Golden Agri Resources (GAR)
- RGE (Apical, Asian Agri).

Some Unilever suppliers do not directly source from the area, but palm oil within the scope of the grievance might enter their supply chain through traders. These are:

- Eyes on the Forest (EoF) sent an email to Unilever regarding the draft for EoF report titled “Enough is Enough”. EoF requested that Unilever provide comment or correction to the report. Unilever replied to EoF (May 2018).
- Asian Agri conducted a visit to PT Inti Indosawit Subur (Ukui 1), traced the reported supply chain, reviewed the supply report to confirm EoF data, and suspended the Delivery Order (DO) holder of a reported truck. Response available publicly here (May 2018).
- Unilever conducted an internal review of the grievance. Unilever and other brands also met with WWF to discuss the possible next steps (July 2018).
- Wilmar, in their Grievance List, identified three suppliers in their supply chain: Asian Agri, PT CRS, and PT SMN. Wilmar will continue to engage with PT CRS, and expected to conduct a ground verification (July 2018). Wilmar has ceased sourcing from PT CRS since June 2018. PT SMN rebutted the report and clarify that they do not source FFB from the TNNP. A field visit was carried out to assess the supplier’s operations (September 2018).
- A meeting was held between EoF and Wilmar, Musim Mas, GAR, Apical in Jakarta, in which an agreement on joint next steps was agreed by all present (July 2018).
- Unilever met with WWF, palm oil traders, and palm oil buyers to discuss potential collaboration in the Tesso Nilo area (November 2018).
- Apical is currently engaging with their six suppliers which are alleged to be receiving FFB from encroaching areas in 2018.
• ADM
• Cargill
• Bunge Loders Croklaan/IOI
• Louis Dreyfus
• Sime Darby.

will be working together with the suppliers and civil society group, Setara Jambi for a traceability to plantation project. The progress is made available on Apical’s public grievances.

• Musim Mas conducted site clarifications and applied a controlled purchase process (reducing procurement from 14 mills to 5 mills in 2016). They are involved in various landscape initiatives in the area. Most recently, they conducted a traceability exercise at PT MAS and PT BIM, two mills mentioned in the report as supplying to Musim Mas. Grievance update available here.

• GAR identified seven mills in the report in their supply chain. They conducted five site visits under the Aggregate Refinery Transformation programme and two under the grievance handling support process during 2015 – 2018. GAR and EoF discussed the report and action plans to engage five mills for the Collaboration for Transformation (CFT) programme focusing on traceability to plantation. (June 2018).

• GAR and EOF had a meeting to discuss "Enough is Enough" report and action plan on landscape approach. For more information, please see GAR Grievance List (July 2018).

• GAR has conducted multiple visits to the identified six mills under the Aggregate Refinery Transformation programme (TBS, SRJ, SMN, PAJ) and grievance handling support process (PSJ, MAS) in the period from 2015 to 2018. GAR met PT MAS management to ask for a stronger commitment on traceability to plantation (TTP). PT MAS stated it had achieved 30% TTP as of October 2018 and agreed to reach 100% TTP by end of Q1 2019. PT MAS also welcomed GAR's plan to support them in achieving the full traceability to the plantation in CFT programme. For more information, please see GAR Grievance List (October 2018).

• GAR reviewed the TTP of BIM, MAS, PSJ, PAJ, TS, SMN, and SRJ, and expects all to reach 100% TTP by July 2019. Refer to GAR’s Grievance List (February 2019).

• ADM had clarified on their Grievance Log that they do not source directly from the area, and have engaged with the relevant suppliers to understand their suppliers’ actions.

• Cargill does not source directly from the area but receive oils through trading partners. More information on Cargill’s engagements through its direct suppliers is available on Cargill’s Grievance List. Cargill advises its direct suppliers to collect traceability to plantation data in these areas and is engaged with other palm oil companies to pursue a landscape programme for sustainable palm oil in Siak and Pelalawan areas.
| A3 | April 2015 | Forest Peoples Programme (FPP), LinkAR-Borneo | **Alleged issue concerning land rights in new concessions**
Forest Peoples Programme published allegations in a statement titled “Golden Agri-Resources still in violation of RSPO standards” (April 2015). This is with regards to prior complaints raised to the RSPO about PT Kartika Pratama Cipta, a GAR subsidiary.

Forest Peoples Programme published allegations in a joint statement of 43 Indonesian civil society organizations reporting alleged workers’ rights abuses by PT Sawit Mas Sejahtera (Sinar Mas) in South Sumatra (July 2017). | Golden Agri Resources (GAR) | **On PT KPC:**
- Unilever has engaged FPP and GAR to discuss allegations and action plans to take in 2017. GAR stated that it is continuing active dialogue with FPP.
- GAR released quarterly complaint progress reports, available to access on the RSPO Complaints Panel site. In its latest (Q4 2018) report, GAR reported completion of major actions and ongoing progress in implementing FPIC remediation, and requested RSPO to close the complaint for monitoring.
- GAR provided an update to Unilever that it awaited RSPO’s instructions for a further review of the HCV assessments and related management and monitoring plans (February 2019).

**On PT SMS:**
- GAR update to Unilever on the Sawit Mas Sejahtera case: “A statement from GAR management was sent to all related stakeholders stating the dispute between PT SMS and SBPKS-GSBI labour union has been settled. This grievance is considered closed.” For more information, please see GAR Grievance List. |

| A2 | 2014 | Rainforest Action Network (RAN) | **Deforestation and human rights violations allegation**
2014 RAN report “Conflict Palm Oil in Practice” alleged deforestation and human rights abuses among various of KLK’s operations. A follow up progress report in | Kuala Lumpur Kepong (KLK) | **KLK provided updates on actions taken** (March 2016).
- KLK had performed participatory mapping exercise in Liberia as reported in Sustainability Report (March 2017).
- KLK commits to address issues raised by RAN (March 2017).
- Unilever sent a letter to KLK in August 2017, followed by a meeting in Singapore in September 2017. |
2015 cited allegations of ongoing conflict with communities in Liberia, threatened social conflict and deforestation in Papua New Guinea, and labor and deforestation risks in its Indonesian and global operations.

**RAN recommended customers and financiers** to require KLK to take a number of actions to address remaining risks (September 2016).

KLK was also mentioned as having an alleged relative lack of progress in implementing NDPE commitments in Greenpeace’s report “Still Cooking the Climate” (November 2017).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>A1</th>
<th>2010</th>
<th><strong>Community rights and deforestation issues</strong></th>
<th><strong>IOI Group</strong></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>• <strong>IOI Pelita case</strong> was raised in 2010 to the RSPO Complaint Panel and lodged by 11 international and NGO Grassroots and the local Long Teran Kanan community in Sarawak (2010).</td>
<td>• Unilever was one of the first consumer goods companies publicly to announce suspension of buying palm oil from IOI, after it was suspended by the RSPO (March 2016).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>• <strong>IOI concessions in Ketapang, West Kalimantan case</strong> raised in the RSPO Complaint Panel in 2015 a grievance related to alleged land clearing and illegal planting (2015).</td>
<td>• IOI and Aidenvironment issued a joint statement on the closure of the Ketapang complaints (December 2016).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>• The IOI Pelita allegations are briefly mentioned in the Greenpeace 2017 report “Dirty Bankers”.</td>
<td>• Unilever has discussed with RAN, Grassroots and IOI (directly and via email) to discuss these allegations.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td><strong>Community rights and deforestation issues</strong></td>
<td><strong>Greenepeace officially halts campaign against IOI</strong> that has ‘come a long way’ (April 2017).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2010</td>
<td>allegation</td>
<td><strong>IOI Group</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td><strong>Community rights and deforestation issues</strong></td>
<td><strong>IOI Group</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>allegation</td>
<td><strong>Greenepeace officially halts campaign against IOI</strong> that has ‘come a long way’ (April 2017).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>• <strong>IOI Pelita case</strong> was raised in 2010 to the RSPO Complaint Panel and lodged by 11 international and NGO Grassroots and the local Long Teran Kanan community in Sarawak (2010).</td>
<td>• IOI explored the Terms of Reference for an independent IOI Sustainability Advisory Panel (May 2017).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>• <strong>IOI concessions in Ketapang, West Kalimantan case</strong> raised in the RSPO Complaint Panel in 2015 a grievance related to alleged land clearing and illegal planting (2015).</td>
<td>• IOI published a Sustainability Implementation Plan (July 2017) and a Sustainability Progress Update (August 2017).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>• The IOI Pelita allegations are briefly mentioned in the Greenpeace 2017 report “Dirty Bankers”.</td>
<td>• Unilever resumed palm oil sourcing from IOI Group (August 2017) following good progress. Since 2017, IOI reports quarterly through implementation of its Group Sustainability Palm Oil Plan.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td><strong>Community rights and deforestation issues</strong></td>
<td><strong>IOI Group</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>allegation</td>
<td><strong>On the Pelita case, IOI and Grassroots worked on a joint action plan which has been reviewed by Pelita and submitted to the RSPO. The action plan underwent review by the RSPO, who asked for clarification on Grassroots role/ status as complainant (December 2017).</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>allegation</td>
<td><strong>The sale of 70% of IOI LC to Bunge was completed, creating a new entity “Bunge Loders Croklaan” Or BLC. Unilever continue to engage with BLC for matters pertaining to IOI (March 2018).</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>• <strong>IOI Pelita case</strong> was raised in 2010 to the RSPO Complaint Panel and lodged by 11 international and NGO Grassroots and the local Long Teran Kanan community in Sarawak (2010).</td>
<td>• Pelita resolution plan received a conditional endorsement by the RSPO Complaints Panel (June 2018).</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
• IOI went ahead to socialize the plan to eleven communities involved in the dispute, June - July 2018. The socialization update is provided [here](#) (July 2018).
• RSPO Complaints Panel officially closed the complaint case on its plantation subsidiary companies in Ketapang, Indonesia (October 2018). Release from IOI [here](#).
• Grassroots has since withdrawn as complainant to avoid a potential conflict of interest when continuing to advise the resolution process between IOI and communities and other relevant stakeholders (June 2018). The [RSPO case](#) is still open.
• In February 2019, RSPO issued a [directive letter](#) to IOI, commending efforts in engagement that result in the obtaining of 8 communities’ consent to the resolution plan. RSPO noted IOI can proceed with the first stage of the plan but all communities need to be on board before stage two in line with FPIC requirements.

### B. Grievances against third-party/indirect suppliers raised to Unilever

Indirect/ third-party suppliers do not have a contract with Unilever, but our direct suppliers are currently sourcing from or have previously sourced palm oil from them.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Ref</th>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Stakeholder</th>
<th>Subject matter of grievance allegation</th>
<th>Supplier(s) and palm oil link to Unilever SC</th>
<th>Latest Unilever and Unilever supplier actions to address the grievance allegations</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| B29 | June 2021| Rainforest Action Network (RAN) | Allegation of noncompliant sourcing from the Leuser Ecosystem with links to Unilever supply chain       | Unilever has no direct sourcing relationship with PT Laot Bangko or PT Global Sawit Semesta, but may be exposed through our direct suppliers. Please also refer to the list of [Suspended Palm Oil Direct Suppliers or Oil Palm Growers by Unilever (September 2020)](#). | • Unilever acknowledged the grievance to RAN and contacted its supplier Apical on the allegations (July 2021).  
  • Unilever met with Apical to inquire further on the case, reiterate its request to suspend PT LB and PT GSS, and understand further Apical’s due diligence system in place for third-party suppliers that are in the vicinity of the Leuser Ecosystem. Apical shared to Unilever its action plan to strengthen traceability and monitoring mechanism in Aceh, the action plan of PT Laot Bangko and updated Unilever on its engagement with PT GSS and PT LB. Apical has also ceased sourcing from both companies.  
  • PT LB has reportedly committed to a moratorium, adopted an NDPE policy, and initiated the process to conduct an HCS-HCV assessment. Meanwhile, PT GSS has reportedly committed to an action plan with Apical to strengthen supply chain monitoring and traceability to ensure such violation will not be repeated (July 2021).  
  • More detailed information is available on Apical’s [grievance list](#) (July 2021).  
  • We will continue to engage Apical to monitor the progress. In the meantime, we maintain our position on the companies as stated                                                                 |
### B28 June 2021 Mighty Earth

**Rapid Response 34**

Mighty Earth raised its Rapid Response (RR) report number 34 (June 2021) alleging noncompliance to NDPE by palm oil producers.

Unilever has no direct relationship with suppliers listed: Eagle High, Triputra Agro, and Rimbunan Hijau, but may be exposed through our direct suppliers.

- **Eagle High**: Eagle High Plantation (EHP) conducted a site visit and reported that clearing was not done by the plantation company, but by villagers who claimed they were not aware of the area belonging to PT TSP. EHP has provided clarification of their further engagement to Wilmar (refer to its ineligible grievance list) who then deem grievance closed (July 2021).
- **Triputra Agro**: Triputra Agro clarified in a report to Unilever suppliers Wilmar and GAR that clearance took place outside of the concession (June 2021).
- **Rimbunan Hijau**: We are investigating the matter with our suppliers.

### B27 June 2021 Forest People’s Programme

**Allegation of ongoing violation of human rights**

A report compiled by TuK Indonesia, PUSAKA, Walhi, and Forest Peoples Programme contains allegations of unresolved human rights grievances at ten plantation companies linked to global brands.

Unilever has no direct relationship with PT PTPN XIII, Astra Agro Lestari, PT Mitra Austral Sejahtera, PT Kurnia Luwuk Sejati, and PT Hardaya Inti Plantation, but may be exposed through our direct suppliers.

- **Unilever contacted Astra Agro Lestari (AAL) on the allegations related to Astra (PT ANA and PT SAL).** Astra clarified to Unilever their perspective on the incidents/points raised in the allegation. Unilever will be engaging the Forest Peoples Programme on this response by Astra.
- **With regards to PT ANA**, our supplier Wilmar has also been engaging AAL in response to grievances raised by WALHI (March 2021). Refer to Wilmar’s grievance list.

### B26 January 2021 Mighty Earth

**Rapid Response 32**

Mighty Earth raised its Rapid Response (RR) report number 32 (January 2021) alleging noncompliance to NDPE by palm oil producers.

Unilever has no direct relationship with suppliers listed: SIPEF, Bunga Group, and YPJ Plantations Sdn Bhd, but may be exposed through our direct suppliers.

- **YPJ Plantations Sdn Bhd**: Unilever engaged its suppliers and consultant on the case. Please find more detailed information on Wilmar’s grievance list, Sime Darby’s grievance list, and KLK grievance list (May 2021).
- **Bunga Group**: Unilever is not directly linked to Value Greenworld Sdn Bhd, but a mill owned by a Bunga subsidiary through several suppliers. Bunge reported that the Bunga group has committed to a moratorium and an NDPE policy (January 2021). Wilmar has ceased sourcing (March 2021). Other suppliers are monitoring the case.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Country/Company</th>
<th>Rapid Response</th>
<th>Details</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>November 2020</td>
<td>Mighty Earth</td>
<td><strong>Rapid Response 30-31</strong></td>
<td>Mighty Earth raised its Rapid Response (RR) report number <strong>30</strong> (August 2020) and <strong>31</strong> (November 2020).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>November 2020</td>
<td>Mighty Earth</td>
<td><strong>Rapid Response 27-29</strong></td>
<td>Mighty Earth raised its Rapid Response (RR) report number <strong>27</strong> (May 2020), <strong>28</strong>, (June 2020), and <strong>29</strong> (July 2020).</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

B24 May 2020 | Mighty Earth | **Rapid Response 27-29** | Mighty Earth raised its Rapid Response (RR) report number **27** (May 2020), **28**, (June 2020), and **29** (July 2020). | Unilever has no direct business relationship with Capitol Group, CB Industrial Product Holdings (CBIPH), but may be exposed through suppliers or directly (refer to the disclosure by Mewah, Sime Darby, and Bunge). SIPEF responded to allegations raised in Rapid Response 32 on its website. |

- Unilever ran a review on all cases raised in the Rapid Response reports, by comparing allegations with Unilever’s internal deforestation alert and monitoring system with supply chain links to Unilever. Unilever is engaging with Mighty Earth, its monitoring partners, and suppliers on these cases.
- **PKPP Plantation Sdn Bhd:** Wilmar responded to Unilever that its supplier LKPP Corporation Sdn Bhd had clarified that it is a different entity from PKPP Plantation Sdn Bhd, and while Wilmar and LKPP does not source from PKPP, it had been engaging directly with PKPP on the allegations raised by Mighty Earth. PKPP has reportedly committed to a moratorium while investigation is ongoing and decided to reserve two lots of land for potential recovery sites (November 2020). Wilmar’s last purchase was in November 2020. Refer to Wilmar’s grievance list.
- **Cargill** and its trading partners had met with PKPP, which resulted in PKPP’s agreement to implement a group-wide policy and relevant programs from January 2021. Ongoing discussion on obtaining a written commitment to a recovery plan. More information on Cargill’s grievance list (December 2020).
- **PKNP:** We do not find a indication of supply chain link to Unilever or Unilever suppliers.
- **Yayasan Pahang:** To our supplier Wilmar, and KLK (through its trading partner), YPPH clarified EIA was conducted and the development received approval from local authorities. YP Plantation has reportedly committed to 1) impose a moratorium effective August 2020, and 2) adoption of NDPE, which they have published online. Refer to Wilmar’s grievance list and KLK’s grievance list.
- **Amanah Saham Pahang:** Supplier KLK is investigating the matter and engaging ASPA on the allegation through its trading partner. ASPA has reportedly agreed to adopt an NDPE policy and issued a moratorium on new clearing. Refer to KLK’s grievance list.
Cases of indirect suppliers we reviewed to be in scope of our grievance process and will process further are:
- Capitol Group (RR 29)
- CB Industrial Product Holdings (detailed information presented in RR 26)

Through our direct suppliers.

Palm oil companies that Unilever had already imposed a procurement suspension.
- Update from our direct supplier Wilmar that PT Medco Papua Hijau Selaras (MPHS)/Capitol Group has committed to a moratorium (September 2020). PT MPHS has submitted HCV/HCS integrated report to be peer-reviewed by HCVRN (July 2021) and prepared management plans for the HCV/HCS areas. More information on Wilmar’s grievance list.
- Supplier Apical reported on its engagement with CBIPH. CBIPH claimed both companies in the Mighty Earth report are registered under Convertible Production Forest land licensing for timber logging by the Forestry Ministry (May 2020). More information on Apical’s grievance list.

Allegation of NDPE noncompliance
In an article titled “Samling and Mulia Sawit’s Ongoing Deforestation”, Mighty Earth alleges deforestation within the group’s Marudi & Batu Belah concessions under Licences for Planted Forests (LPF) in Sarawak.

A related article titled “The Coronavirus Climate Profiteers” was published in April 2020.

Samling
Unilever does not have direct business relationship with Samling or Glenealy Plantations.

Please refer to the list of Suspended Palm Oil Direct Suppliers or Oil Palm Growers by Unilever (September 2020).

- Unilever requested further information from Mighty Earth with regards to the grievances raised against Samling after which Unilever engaged several direct suppliers indicated to be linked to Samling to request more information on grievance verification and engagement with Samling. Due to the cross-commodity nature of the case, Unilever also requested support from external sustainability experts (April 2020).
- Unilever checked with KLK about its alleged link to Mulia Sawit. KLK responded to Unilever that it does not purchase from MSA and MSA is not in its supply chain (April 2020).
- Unilever issued a letter to its direct supplier requesting to impose a procurement suspension of Samling for Unilever’s palm oil supply chain including through indirect supply to Unilever (April 2020).

Allegation of top deforesters in 2019
In an article titled “The Chain: Repeat Offenders Continue to Clear Forests for Oil Palm in Southeast Asia,” Chain Reaction Research published their analysis on the ten palm oil companies that combined were responsible for approx. 39,500 hectares of deforestation and peat development in Indonesia, Malaysia, and Papua New Guinea in 2019.

Some of these companies remain linked to the supply chain of companies and brands covered under Unilever’s NDPE sourcing policies:

Unilever does not have any direct business relationship with the ten companies mentioned but may be exposed through our direct suppliers.

- Prior to the publication of the report, Unilever had reached out to its main direct suppliers with regards to companies listed due to deforestation alerts in 2019 and/or previous grievances.
  - Jhonlin group: See B18.
  - Mulia Sawit group: See B18.
  - Sungai Budi group: See B15.
  - Indonusa group: See B15.
  - Musirawas group: See B16.
- With regards to BEST Agro, see case B7.
- In April 2020, Unilever sent a letter to its main direct suppliers to reiterate expectations that suppliers remove from Unilever’s supply chain companies that are alleged to be responsible for significant deforestation in 2019 and therefore may be failing to meet the requirements set out in of the Unilever Sustainable Palm
• **Jhonlin group**: Alleged clearing of 5,900 ha area of forest, mostly at PT Kodeco Timber in Kalimantan.

• **Mulia Sawit group**: Alleged clearing of close to 4,300ha, mostly at PT PEAK in Central Kalimantan.

• **Sungai Budi group/Tunas Baru Lampung**: Alleged clearing of 2,900ha of peat at PT SUJ in 2019. See also B18 and B15.

• **Indonusa group**: Alleged clearing of 2,800ha of forest at PT Internusa Jaya Sejahtera in Merauke, Papua.

• **Peputra group-related**: Alleged clearing of 2,100ha of peat and peat forest at PT Marita Makmur Jaya in Riau, one of three plantations CRR refers to as “shadow companies” owned by the same family.

• **Musirawas group**: Alleged clearing of 2,100ha in 2019 through PT Uni Primacom and PT Sumur Pandanwangi in Central Kalimantan.

The remaining companies with little known supply chain information are:

• **Sulaidy-associated company**: Alleged clearing of over 12,700 ha in 2019 in multiple plantations in Kalimantan.

• **Rugao Shuangma group**: Alleged clearing of 2,460 ha of peat and peat forest in North Kalimantan in 2019.

• **BEST Agro**: Alleged clearing of 2,300 ha in 2019. See also previous case B7.

• **Golden Land Bhd**: Alleged clearing of 1,700 ha of forests and peat at PT Tasnida Agro Lestari in South Kalimantan in 2019.

Oil Policy. This includes the ten group companies raised in the CRR report.

• Unilever’s main suppliers confirm they have taken actions to exclude the following groups from supply to Unilever: Sulaidy, Jhonlin group, Mulia Sawit group, Tunas Baru Lampung, Indonusa, Shuangma group, Best Agro, Musirawas, Golden Land Bhd. Unilever will continue to monitor remaining supply chain links to groups indicated to be indirectly linked to Unilever.

• **Peputra group-related**: With regards to PT Marita Makmur Jaya (PT MMJ), suppliers raised questions regarding the Peputra group and the link of PT MMJ to the group (e.g. see GAR’s statement on this issue). Unilever is reviewing group definitions used to assess the case, including that of the RSPO, to determine the extent to which Peputra group shall be included in the engagement with PT MMJ on the allegations and seeks constructive ways to address the ongoing clearing at PT MMJ. In the meantime, suppliers should ensure supply from PT MMJ does not get into Unilever’s supply chain.

• With regards to an update in 2020 that alleged a link between Sulaidy-owned plantation with a Pundi Group mill, Unilever reached out to its direct supplier Wilmar who reported that the mill has stopped sourcing from PT PAL effective September 1st 2020, and has shared list of FFB suppliers to Wilmar for monitoring. More detailed information on Wilmar’s grievance list (September 2020).

---

B21 November 2019 Greenpeace Allegations of noncompliance with regards to forest fires

Greenpeace published a report titled “Burning down the house” with allegations that Unilever is purchasing palm oil from producers linked to forest fires and operations subject to court action, administrative sanctions, or other

Unilever is allegedly linked to the following suppliers: Agro Inti Semesta, Astra Agro Lestari, ANJ, Bakrie, Bumitama, Citra

• Prior to the report publication on November 4, Greenpeace sent Unilever a letter detailing a new publication on October 30 (2019).

• Unilever reached out to its direct suppliers to verify the allegations: Apical, Bunge, Cargill, KLK, Musim Mas, PTPN, Sime Darby, GAR, and Wilmar, requesting clarification on fires and hotspots in the suppliers’ direct operations, sealed operations or fines, links to third-party suppliers linked to forest fires in 2015—
government intervention as a result of fires in the past few years. A related press release on transboundary haze was also published in November 2019.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>B20</th>
<th>Sept 2019</th>
<th>Rainforest Action Network (RAN)</th>
<th>Allegation of noncompliant sourcing from the Rawa Singkil Wildlife Reserve with links to Unilever supply chain</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>A report published by RAN alleges the transporting of FFB from inside Rawa Singkil Wildlife Reserve to a nearby palm broker, which were then sent to two mills supplying refineries of Unilever suppliers.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>


Unilever has no direct sourcing relationship with the suppliers above but may be exposed through our direct suppliers.

Unilever has no direct sourcing relationship with PT Global Sawit Semesta (GSS) and PT Samudera Sawit Nusantara (SSN) but may be exposed to the companies through direct suppliers.

2018, and burnt areas in 2019 detected by Unilever’s monitoring, if any (November 2019).

- Unilever direct suppliers clarified which third party suppliers listed are present in their supply chain and are engaging those they are sourcing from to investigate the allegations. Unilever is monitoring the progress.
- Some suppliers were subject to previous cases and engagements:
  - ANJ: See B4.
  - Bumitama: See B15.
  - Citra Borneo Indah: See B2.
  - Fangiono Agro: See B10.
  - GAMA: See B15.
  - Genting: See B15.
  - JA Wattie: See B16.
  - Korindo: See B15.
  - NPC Resources: See B15.
  - Salim: See B3.
  - Sungai Budi/Tunas Baru Lampung: See B15.
  - Djarum/HPI Agro: B15.
- Unilever direct suppliers have stopped sourcing from some of the suppliers listed: ANJ, Citra Borneo Indah, JA Wattie, Salim, Sungai Budi. Unilever and its suppliers are looking into the mills Greenpeace reported as associated with these groups.
- Unilever takes this issue seriously and will continue to engage with its suppliers to resolve any noncompliance with regards to fires.
- Unilever’s work with Earth Equalizer and Aidenvironment allows us to investigate peat and forest burnt areas via satellite images. We are also involved in other monitoring initiatives and recently invested into supporting earlier detection of deforestation using radar waves which will be made available through WRI’s Global Forest Watch platform. Finally, we are engaging with the RSPO on cases related to RSPO members.

- RAN notified Unilever of the upcoming release of the results of their investigations (September 2019).
- Unilever responded to the notification.
- Unilever immediately contacted its suppliers with indication of direct sourcing from around the Rawa Singkil area.
- Unilever suppliers GAR and Musim Mas are both investigating the case with PT GSS and PT SSN (October 2019) and have published an official response on their respective websites (here and here).
- Our suppliers’ site visits with both mills are scheduled in October 2019.

Unilever has no direct sourcing relationship with PT Global Sawit Semesta (GSS) and PT Samudera Sawit Nusantara (SSN) but may be exposed to the companies through direct suppliers.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>B19</th>
<th>May 2019</th>
<th>Rainforest Action Network (RAN)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
|     |         | **Deforestation in Leuser Ecosystem (Aceh) Allegation**<br>In May 2019, Rainforest Action Network formally submitted grievances on twelve companies with allegations of noncompliance as documented on multiple reports and articles on LeuserWatch.org. These companies are:  
- PT Nia Yuiled  
- PT Putra Kurnia  
- PT Tualang Raya  
- PT Indo Alam  
- PT Tegas Nusantara  
- PT Indo Sawit Perkasa  
- PT Perkebunan Nusantara (PTPN) I Blang Tualang  
- PT Agra Bumi Niaga  
- PT Surya Panen Subur II (See also: B6)  
- PT Kallista Alam  
- PT Dua Perkasa Lestari  
- PT Laot Bangko  

In August 2020, RAN published:  
* Article* alleging FFB from PT Indo Alam is sent to the mill PT Teupin Lada.  
* Article* alleging ongoing social conflict, irregular permitting procedure, and development on peat at PT Dua Perkasa Lestari, and that FFB from the plantation is being sent to mill PT Beurata Subur Persada.  

|     |         | **Unilever**<br>Unilever has no direct sourcing relationship with any of the companies listed.  
PT Perkebunan Nusantara I (PTPN I), PT Laot Bangko, PT Teupin Lada, and PT Beurata Subur Persada are either third-party/indirect suppliers that may enter Unilever’s supply chain through trade, or belong to the same group company as an indirect supplier to Unilever.  

|     |         | **GAR**<br>Unilever had requested its suppliers GAR and Musim Mas to exclude any palm oil products coming from PT GSS and PT SSN from its direct contract with them, and to ensure the mills have a verifiable traceability system and a mechanism to monitor NDPE compliance before GAR and Musim Mas resume linking supply from these mills to Unilever (October 2019).  

|     |         | **Musim Mas**<br>Unilever has notified its direct suppliers with potential sourcing links to the area.  
Unilever also works with Aidenvironment to verify the allegations through the deforestation monitoring platform and deployment of field teams.  
GAR responded to Unilever with information that eleven of the companies identified in the May 2019 grievance are not linked to their supply chain, and they are engaging directly with one supplier on the allegation (May 2019). GAR has previously reported on their engagement progress with PT Indo Sawit Perkasa, PT Agra Bumi Niaga, PT Dua Perkasa Lestari, and PT Laot Bangko.  
Musim Mas has responded that it does not source FFB directly from any of the suppliers listed, and is currently investigating whether these companies are linked to its supply chain.  

|     |         | **Cargill**<br>Cargill notified Unilever that in agreement with Cargill’s grievance management expectations, its supplier has ceased sourcing from the PT Laot Bangko’s group-level company (September 2019).  

|     |         | **Bunge**<br>Bunge notified Unilever that clearing had been verified by their supplier, and criteria have been set before PT Laot Bangko and its group-level company can gain supply chain re-entry. More information on Bunge’s grievance [list](#) (September 2019).  

|     |         | **Unilever partnering with Musim Mas and Pepsico**<br>Unilever partnering with Musim Mas and Pepsico are collaborating to support the Verified Sourcing Area (VSA) program in Aceh, developed by the IDH Sustainable Trade Initiative, to increase sustainable palm oil production while protecting the Leuser Ecosystem. This was initiated by the signing of the *Production, Protection, and Inclusion (PPI) Compact* by the government of Aceh Tamiang District with multiple local stakeholders (December 2019).  
With regards to the new allegations raised in 2020, Unilever immediately reached out to suppliers with links to the third party mills to verify the allegations.  
Supplier GAR reported on their engagement with PT Teupin Lada, citing PT TL committed to exclude PT Indo Alam from its supply
List of alleged top ten deforesters in South East Asia

Chain Reaction Research listed allegations of top ten deforesters in 2018 based on alleged hectares deforested, and how it alleges that NDPE policy uptake has influenced the year-on-year decline. On the list are several companies that are stated to remain connected to buyers with NDPE policies.

- **Jhoinlin group**: Alleged clearing of around 5,000ha of forest on PT Multi Sarana Agro Mandiri, PT Pradiksi Gunatama and PT Kodeco Timber plantations in Kalimantan. Two companies are stated to belonging to the group, PT Adisurya Cipta Lestari and PT Batulicin Agro Sentosa, sell palm oil to traders with NDPE policies.

- **Tanah Merah project**: See B12.

- **Ciliandry Anky Abadi (CAA)**: Alleged clearing of around 3,500ha of mostly peat forest in 2018. See also B10.

- **BLD Plantations**: Alleged development of approximately 3,000ha of peat in Mukah, Sarawak.

- **Tee family**: Tee family members were reported to own the Bewani Oil Palm Plantation (BOPPL) in Papua New Guinea, where 3,000ha of forest was allegedly cleared in 2018. See also B15.

- **Mulia Sawit Agro**: Alleged clearing of peat and peat forest on PT Persada Era Agro Kencana plantation in Central Kalimantan.

- **Sungai Budi group**: Alleged clearing of around 2,600ha of peat and peat forest in 2018. See also B15.

Unilever does not have any direct business relationship with Jhoinlin group, Tanah Merah project, CAA, BLD plantations, Tee family/Prosper group, Mulia Sawit Agro, Sungai Budi Group/Tunas Baru Lampung, Citra Borneo Indah/PT SSMS, and ANJ.

However, these are third-party/indirect suppliers that may enter Unilever’s supply chain through trade.

Upon publication of this article, Unilever investigated our supply chain for the existence of any links with the suppliers listed.

- **Jhoinlin group**: Unilever reached out to its suppliers with links to the Jhoinlin group to request confirmation on the allegations (February 2019). Unilever’s direct suppliers with links to this group responded that they are investigating into the allegations, with one indicating they are no longer sourcing from the group. Bunge’s latest mill list (Q2 2019) indicated they no longer source from Batulicin Agro. We reiterated our request that suppliers exclude Jhoinlin from supply to Unilever in April 2020.

- **Tanah Merah project**: See B12.

- **CAA**: See B10.

- **BLD**: Unilever reached out to two suppliers with supply chain links to BLD. Both suppliers have indicated they no longer source from the company in 2018. Refer to Bunge’s grievance list and Cargill’s grievance list (February 2019).

- **Tee family/Prosper**: See B15.

- **Mulia Sawit Agro**: Unilever reached out to its suppliers who have indicated they no longer buy from Mulia Sawit Agro. Refer to Bunge’s grievance list, Cargill’s grievance list and Apical’s grievance update (June 2019). We reiterated our request that suppliers exclude Mulia Sawit from supply to Unilever in April 2020.

- **Sungai Budi group**: See B15.

- **Citra Borneo Indah**: See B2.

- **ANJ**: Unilever has engaged suppliers that previously indicated ANJ mills is in their supply chain, all of which have adopted a “no buy” position on ANJ. See B4.
### Alleged NDPE non-compliant companies with links to Unilever supply chain

Mighty Earth with its partners Aidenvironment and MapHubs publish regular “Rapid Response” reports, based on their monitoring on over 3,000 palm oil concessions in Indonesia and Sarawak. Mighty Earth filed a grievance against seven companies alleged to be out of alignment with Unilever’s Sustainable Palm Oil Policy.

- **ANJ**: see B4.
- **Tabung Haji**: see B15.
- **HSA Group**: see B13.
- **JA Wattie**: PT Condong Garut, reported to be managed by JA Wattie, allegedly cleared 52ha peat forest in West Kalimantan. Information in Rapid Response 6.
- **Musirawas**: PT Sumur Pandanwangi allegedly developed 243ha of peat in the concession. Information in Rapid Response 6.
- **Samuel**: 45ha of forest were cleared in PT Prasetya Mitra Muda (PMM) Blok II concession. In Rapid Response 6, Mighty Earth alleges PT PMM to be 49.7% owned by

### NDPE non-compliance allegations

- **Foresthints released an article** alleging Pundi Lahan Khatulistiwa group of continually clearing and draining peat forests at PT Pinang Witmas Abadi (PWA) in West Kalimantan.
- **Pundi Lahan Khatulistiwa group**
  - Unilever does not have direct business relationship with the Pundi group.
  - In February 2019, Unilever contacted direct suppliers with links to the Pundi group immediately after receiving the Foresthints article.
  - Cargill responded to Unilever that Pundi was an indirect supplier, and Cargill no longer sources from Pundi. More information on Cargill’s indirect supplier grievance list.
  - Bunge responded that it has ceased sourcing from Pundi and notified their supplier, as is also reflected on the Bunge grievance list (last update April 2019).
  - Sime Darby clarified on their website that Pundi group does not supply to Sime Darby.

### Unilever’s response

- **Unilever issued a response letter to Mighty Earth** in October and November 2018 and engaged directly with the grievance raiser to discuss next steps. Unilever’s latest meeting with Mighty Earth was in December 2018.
- **With regards to the link to Unilever’s supply chain with ANJ**, in November 2018, Unilever started engagement with Gokul Agro to understand their view on the raised grievances against ANJ. Unilever is no longer buying from Gokul Agro. Other suppliers who previously declared they source from ANJ indicated they no longer source from ANJ.
- **JA Wattie**: Unilever’s direct supplier Bunge has stopped sourcing from the supplier in February 2018.
- **Musirawas**: Unilever was previously linked through our direct supplier, Wilmar, which stated it has not sourced from Musirawas since August 2018 but continues to engage with them to encourage them to meet NDPE commitments. Apical has also engaged Musirawas, also commonly known as the Asam Jawa Group to request further clarification. PT Asam Jawa reiterated they have no management control of PT SP. More information available on Wilmar’s Grievance List and Apical’s grievance list. We reiterated our request that suppliers exclude Musirawas from supply to Unilever in April 2020.
- **Samuel**: Wilmar has been engaging directly with Samuel. Samuel has signed a letter of commitment to Wilmar’s NDPE policy and is
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>B15</th>
<th>May 2018</th>
<th>Greenpeace</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>B15</strong></td>
<td>May 2018</td>
<td><strong>Greenpeace</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>NDPE non-compliance allegations</strong></td>
<td>In a letter to major palm oil buyers, Greenpeace listed 26 alleged NDPE non-compliant palm oil producer groups (May 2018). Greenpeace published “Final Countdown,” a report on the 26 companies and on brands and traders’ alleged failure to implement NDPE commitments that extend to their entire supply chains (September 2018). <strong>AEP:</strong> see B11. <strong>ANJ:</strong> see B4. <strong>Bumitama:</strong> Greenpeace report alleging deforestation for plantation development, destruction of HCV, development on peatland, community land claims and lack of consultation or compensation. Also alleges issues with governance of a plasma scheme and cooperative and alleges failure to meet timetables for RSPO certification (January 2017). <strong>CCM:</strong> Deforestation allegations that between November 2016 and October 2017 PT HIP cleared 500 ha of secondary forest. <strong>Citra Borneo Indah/PT SSMS:</strong> See B2. <strong>KLX, Wilmar, GAR, Musim Mas, Cargill, IOI/Bunge, ADM, Louis Dreyfus, and Sime Darby.</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Unilever does not have any direct business relationship with AEP, ANJ, Bumitama (Lim Haryanto family), Central Cipta Murdaya, Citra Borneo Indah/PT SSMS, Djarum. DTK Opportunity, GAMA, Genting, HSA Group, IJM Corporation, Indonusa, Korindo, Noble Group, NPC Resources, Rimbunan Hijau, Salim Group, Samling/Glenealy Plantations, Sungai Budi Group / Tunas Baru Lampung, Tabung Haji Plantations, Tee family / Prosper.</strong></td>
<td>**Unilever issued a response letter to Greenpeace on June 1st 2018, outlining views on the key challenges raised i.e. sourcing links with highlighted producer groups, NDPE compliance and verification, and multi-stakeholder approach for industry reform. The letter also included sourcing links and actions taken with regards to each supplier on the list. Unilever reached out to our major direct suppliers that potentially still source from companies on the list to identify each supplier’s position on the grievance and sourcing from the listed companies. See A6. <strong>AEP:</strong> See B11. <strong>ANJ:</strong> See B4. <strong>Bumitama</strong> had published a sustainability report in 2016, which includes objectives and targets for implementation of its sustainability initiatives for the years 2017 to 2024, a time bound plan for RSPO certification for all subsidiaries and smallholders, area set aside for conservation, and detailed statement on the legality and process surrounding the acquisition of its subsidiary. Unilever will be monitoring the grievance resolution and progress towards these objectives through our direct suppliers. In the Final Countdown report, IOI, Unilever’s direct supplier and Bumitama JV partner, responded to the Greenpeace Sept 2018 allegations saying they are willing ‘to put pressure on Bumitama’s management as a substantial shareholder’. GAR published a report on their engagements with Bumitama in October 2018 with regards to the allegations raised in the Final Countdown report. It states that Bumitama would review the actions taken.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
• **Djarum:** Between August 2015 and September 2017, allegations that PT GSK cleared 1,100 ha of peatland forest.

• **DTK:** Between July 2016 - October 2017 allegations that PT LAIK cleared around 1,200 ha of peatland forest. Despite stop work order by Apical in January 2017, allegations that the company continued clearing.

• **GAMA/Ganda:** Allegations that they developed around 1,500 ha between January 2016 and December 2016, through the clearing of peatland forests or plantings in already cleared areas. PT GAN have recently construction new palm oil mill in West Kalimantan (reported in March 2018). See also A8.

• **Genting:** Allegations that between March 2015 and June 2018, PT PSM cleared about 495ha forest in West Kalimantan. Previously, Genting subsidiary PT Susupri Permai is subject to a RSPO complaint for the alleged killing of an orangutan.

• **Hayed Saeed Anam (HSA) group:** See B12.

• **IJM Corporation:** Between July 2017 and November 2017 allegations that PT PBP cleared 150ha, mostly secondary forest. IJM Corporation is one of four companies that were excluded from Norway's Government Pension Fund Global in August 2015 due to alleged links with deforestation.

• **Indonusa:** Between January 2015 and May 2017, allegations that PT IJS cleared 5,000ha of forest including approximately 1,000ha of primary forests. Some clearing has allegedly continued since that time.

• **Korindo:** As alleged by Mighty Earth, the company cleared some tropical lowland forest and did not effectively identify and preserve conservation areas within its concession. There are also allegations of the use of fire for land clearance and that the company did not respect local community rights to provide or withhold FPIC for new development.

These are potentially third-party/indirect suppliers that may enter Unilever's supply chain through trade as identified by Greenpeace.

Our supplier Musim Mas is engaging with Bumitama to resolve the grievances (refer to Musim Mas’ grievance list). Cargill will request for Bumitama’s action plan on compensation from its direct suppliers. In April 2019, Bumitama issued a response to allegations of clearing at PT LGI raised by its internal and external sources.

• **CCM:** Unilever's direct supplier Musim Mas has been engaging with Hardaya Plantations so that they undertake HCS and HCV assessment, assess legal compliance and establish an internal Standard Operating Procedure (SOP) on sustainability and NDPE. Information available on Musim Mas’ grievance list. Cargill reported that a moratorium is in place for Hardaya Plantation and there is active monitoring of the moratorium via Aidenvironment. CCM is not in Cargill's and Bunge's direct supply chain. There are no additional development since the moratorium is in place.

• **Citra Borneo Indah/PT SSMS:** See B2.

• **Djarum:** Unilever's direct supplier, GAR, has been engaging with HPI Agro with regards to NDPE compliance and monitoring PT GSK land clearance. More information on our supplier’s engagement with PT GSK can be found on the GAR grievance list. Cargill initiated engagement with its direct supplier in February 2018. A moratorium is in place at PT GSK pending completion of required assessments by the supplier. More information can be found on Cargill’s Indirect Suppliers Grievance List.

• **DTK Opportunity:** Soil analysis was completed in June 2017 while HCV assessment was completed two years ago in February 2016. PT LAIK is aware that HCS analysis is needed before they can resume any land clearing activities. PT LAIK is currently seeking consultants to help with their capacity to comply with NDPE requirements. Wilmar’s last purchase from DTK was in August 2017, and Wilmar is monitoring progress. Cargill is currently not buying from the company. As reported in their Grievance List, Cargill initiated engagement with their direct supplier in February 2018 on the allegations. They are aware that land clearing has ceased, and the company is carrying out the required assessments prior to any development, including HCS.

• **GAMA/Ganda:** In August 2018, GAMA and Aidenvironment signed a joint statement on their collaboration in developing and implementing NDPE Policy. See also A8. Cargill currently does not source directly from any of the mentioned companies associated with GAMA/Ganda but were indirectly impacted through its direct sourcing from Wilmar and other traders that also source from Wilmar. However, with Wilmar’s decision to cease all sourcing process of its acquisition method, and outlines action plans to comply with RSPO RaCP for concessions under grievance.
• Noble group: See B8.
• NPC Resources: NPC Resources was alleged to be implicated in the clearing of 900 ha peat forests in PT SAS between November 2016 and September 2017.
• Rimbunan Hijau: As alleged by Global Witness and Greenpeace, this company is claimed to be responsible for logging forests for palm oil concessions in Papua New Guinea.
• Salim group: see B3.
• Samling/Glenealy plantations: EIA reported on Prestige Platform, a Samling subsidiary in Myanmar which was accused of alleged development of community land in Myanmar without FPIC and chemical run-off polluted local water sources. Greenpeace reported allegations of: deforestation in Kalimantan (2,867 ha), illegal deforestation outside of allowed area, use of fire for land clearing in Papua New Guinea and deforestation of 1,016ha within concession.
• Sungai Budi Group (Tunas Baru Lampung): Between August 2015 and October 2017, allegations that PT SUJ cleared 4,100ha of forest, mostly on peat. Also allegations that between April 2016 and August 2017, PT SIP cleared 1,400ha of peatland forest, including 700 ha after December 2016.
• Tabung Haji Plantations: See B14.
• Tee Family/Prosper: Alleged deforestation in Papua New Guinea (PNG) by Bewani Oil Palm Plantations (BOPPL) with alleged ownership ties to the Prosper Group of Companies/ Far East Holdings Bhd (1,146ha of alleged deforestation since July 2017).

from these companies since 20th June 2018, its supply chain is no longer linked. In February 2019, Unilever met with GAMA and Aidenvironment in Jakarta. Aidenvironment and GAMA provided updates on the progress GAMA has made in terms of group consolidation, publication of concession maps, restoration, peat rehabilitation, and HCS/HCV assessment. The latest progress report can be accessed here. Unilever’s direct supplier Wilmar lifted their suspension on GAMA following good progress. More information on Wilmar’s grievance list.
• KPN Plantation (formerly GAMA group), met with Unilever to update on their progress and address stakeholder concerns raised to Unilever (November 2019).
• Genting: In March 2017, PT PSM had ceased to be a subsidiary of Genting, as announced publicly here. In March 2017, Genting issued a statement regarding the case at PT Susantri Permai, and in September 2018 RSPO Complaints Panel issued a decision letter regarding the case. Cargill does not consider PT PSM as still being owned by Genting and BHD. Cargill is however aware of an open grievance (2016) facing three subsidiaries of Genting within the RSPO complaints system. The case for one subsidiary has been resolved, while the others are pending a final decision from the RSPO Complaints Panel based on action plans implemented by Genting. Cargill is monitoring the decision by RSPO. Our supplier KLK engaged with Genting to clarify the allegations, information available on KLK’s Grievance list. For alleged clearance by Genting subsidiary PT CSC detected in 2019, please refer to Wilmar’s grievance list.
• Hayel Saeed Anam (HSA) group: See B12.
• IJM Corporation: Our direct supplier Wilmar met with IJM in December 2017. IJM immediately committed to a moratorium and Wilmar has offered support on the HCSA assessment. A workplan for HCSA assessment was discussed with Wilmar in May 2018. Terms of reference for the required assessment is being prepared jointly by Wilmar and IJM. They are monitoring progress monthly. More information on Wilmar’s Grievance List. Our supplier Cargill is engaging with their direct supplier, as noted on Cargill’s Indirect Grievance List. Bunge reported confirmed clearance stop and moratorium in place. Source: Bunge Grievance List (February 2019).
• Indonusa: Unilever supplier Cargill stated that Indonusa is no longer in their supply chain. More information available on Cargill’s Grievance List. We reiterated our request that suppliers exclude Indonusa from supply to Unilever in April 2020.
Korindo: Korindo is reportedly committed to implementing moratorium in three concessions – Tunas Sawaerma A, Tunas Sawaerma B and Tunas Sawaerma (2016). Wilmar has put on hold purchasing from Korindo in June 2016. Information on Wilmar’s Grievance List. Our supplier Cargill reported that they have reached out to their suppliers, two of which indicated they are no longer sourcing from Korindo, and one that has implemented a strict controlled-purchase mechanism with the supplier. Cargill is monitoring this engagement. More information available on Cargill’s grievance list. Korindo is not in Unilever’s direct or indirect supply chain per June 2018.

Noble group: See B8.

NPC Resources: Our direct supplier, Wilmar, has been engaging with NPC on the allegations of NDPE non-compliance following their internal monitoring. NPC has reportedly appointed consultants to conduct relevant assessment at PT SAS. The consultants are completing the report and has shared the draft report with Wilmar in August 2018. Wilmar continues to monitor PT SAS’s activities on a monthly basis. More information on Wilmar’s Grievance List.

Rimbunan Hijau: Our direct supplier, Wilmar, has initiated engagement with Rimbunan Hijau since early September 2018 to seek clarifications on allegations of deforestation at their Papua New Guinea site. Wilmar does not source from Gilford Ltd, but their parent company, Rimbunan Hijau is associated with Wilmar’s suppliers in Malaysia. Gilford Ltd has reportedly provided a written commitment in adhering to an NDPE policy and shared their concession maps with RH group for further investigation. A stop work order is reportedly in place while engagement is ongoing. More information on Wilmar’s Grievance List.

Salim group: see B3.

Samling/Glenealy Plantations: Wilmar engaged with Samling on the Myanmar case in early 2017. A stop work order is in place since February 2017 and Samling has engaged an assessor to ensure NDPE compliance. Wilmar has initiated discussion with Samling on their operation in Indonesia, Malaysia and Papua New Guinea (PNG) since August 2017. Samling was in the process of divesting their PNG concession in 2017, and the divestment process was completed in early 2018. Samling has committed to a moratorium on land clearing activities in their Malaysia and Indonesia operation. All the relevant assessments have to be conducted prior resuming their land clearing for palm planting in the future. Wilmar met with Samling in January 2018 and conducted a site visit in Sarawak in March 2018. More information
on Wilmar’s Grievance List. Bunge has stopped sourcing from Samling in June 2018, as indicated in their Grievance List. With regards to alleged clearing in December 2018 in Samling-Lana plantations, Samling clarified the areas highlighted was actually harvesting of acacia trees planted within the Samling Group’s Licensed Planted Forest (LPF) concession and not oil palm. Source: KLK (December 2018).

- In April 2020, Unilever engaged its suppliers with regards to recent land clearing allegations by Samling and requested our direct suppliers to suspend supplies into Unilever’s supply chain deriving from Samling.

- **Sungai Budi Group/Tunas Baru Lampung:** Our direct supplier GAR had engaged with PT TBL, conducted an analysis on PT SUJ and provided recommendations with regards to conducting a soil study and plan for peat restoration. However, GAR’s internal monitoring still detected land clearing in both concessions in 2017 and PT SUJ in July 2018. Our direct supplier Wilmar has engaged with PT TBL following their monitoring activity in 2016 and has secured PT TBL’s commitment to adhere to an NDPE policy and halt further land clearing. Despite this commitment, Wilmar’s internal monitoring detected ongoing land clearing activities. Wilmar’s last purchase from TBL was on February 2018, as listed in their Grievance List. Musim Mas’ last purchase was in June 2018 as indicated on their Grievance List. See also GAR Grievance List. Unilever reached out to suppliers with regards to allegations arising in 2019 against this supplier. Cargill declared TBL to be no longer in their supply chain. Source: Cargill’s grievances. We reiterated our request that suppliers exclude Sungai Budi group from supply to Unilever in April 2020.

- **Tabung Haji Plantations:** See B14.

- **Tee Family/Prosper:** One of our direct suppliers met with Prosper to seek clarification in May 2018. Our suppliers Mewah and Bunge were no longer sourcing from Prosper in H2 2018, but Mewah continues to engage with Prosper and requested action plan implementation in January 2019. Our supplier, Cargill, has on several occasions raised the concern of alleged association of Prosper to Bewani Oil Palms in PNG. Prosper denies any form of association, stating that Bewani is an investment by its minority shareholder with no commercial links to the Group. Cargill have received written acknowledgment that Prosper is not commercially linked to Bewani and Prosper will not market any of Bewani’s products. KLK engaged with Prosper in September 2018, and received feedback that neither Prosper Palm Oil Products Marketing Sdn Bhd nor Winner Acres Sdn Bhd has signed any
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>B14</th>
<th>April 2018</th>
<th>Chain Reaction Research, Greenpeace, Mighty Earth</th>
<th>Clearance of peatland and forests allegation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>• <a href="#">Chain Reaction Research</a> reported the alleged clearance of peat and forests at the concessions of PT Persada Kencana Prima (PKP) and Hydroflow Sdn Bhd, 488 and 171 hectares respectively, in 2017. Tabung Haji Plantations allegedly acquired PT PKP and Hydroflow between 2012-2015 (April 2018).&lt;br&gt;• Greenpeace included Tabung Haji Plantations in a letter to major palm oil buyers alleging that they are a non-compliant NDPE palm oil company in May 2018 and again in the report “Final Countdown” (Sept 2018).&lt;br&gt;• In a letter to Unilever, Mighty Earth raised a grievance in <a href="#">Rapid Response</a> alleging THP of further deforestation of 217ha peat forests at PKP between April and June 2018.&lt;br&gt;• In April 2020, <a href="#">Chain Reaction Research</a> published allegations of the planned development of 8,094ha of oil palm plantations on Deru Semangat Sdn Bhd (DSSB), in Mukim Tembeling, Pahang, Malaysia before High Carbon Stock (HCS) assessment were conducted.</td>
<td>Tabung Haji Plantations (THP)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>• September 24, 2018, Unilever issued a <a href="#">public response</a> to the Greenpeace campaign &quot;Final Countdown&quot;. Unilever issued a response letter to Mighty Earth in October 2018 and is engaging with Mighty Earth and direct suppliers to investigate the allegations. Unilever asked its major direct suppliers whether it was sourcing from directly or indirectly from THP.&lt;br&gt;• Wilmar reported in its <a href="#">Grievance List</a> that THP still has a “stop work order” in place, and is developing an action plan in which it is undertaking further steps to strengthen sustainability practices.&lt;br&gt;• Wilmar engaged with THP in September 2018 and both parties agreed to appoint an independent assessor to conduct assessment of and map the area of contention. An independent assessor carried out field assessment at PT PKP in October 2018. Wilmar noted that a proposed rehabilitation plan will be established if there is indeed land clearing. We continue to monitor this progress directly with Wilmar and through their public grievance update.&lt;br&gt;• Musim Mas (<a href="#">grievance list</a>) and GAR (<a href="#">grievance list</a>) are also Unilever direct suppliers that have been engaging with THP and through which we will continue to monitor this situation.&lt;br&gt;• Following indication of peat clearance at PT PKP captured by GAR’s internal monitoring, GAR reached out to Trurich Resources (a JV between TH plantations and FGV). Given the feedback they received with regards to the grievance, GAR has deemed Trurich Resources as non-compliant with their grievance handling process. More information on GAR’s <a href="#">grievance list</a> (March 2019).&lt;br&gt;• Unilever reached out to our direct suppliers with regards to new indications of peat clearing at PT PKP in 2019.&lt;br&gt;• In 2020, stakeholders raised the Chain Reaction Research article alleging the planned development before HCS in DSSB, Pahang, Malaysia. Unilever immediately reached out to its deforestation monitoring partner and direct suppliers who have direct engagements with THP to better understand the allegations. We learned from our suppliers that TH Plantations has communicated the intention to terminate the Management Agreement to DSSB. Refer to Musim Mas’ <a href="#">website</a> (June 2020).&lt;br&gt;• Unilever issued a letter to its direct supplier requesting to impose a procurement suspension of TH Plantations at a group level for</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
B13 \hspace{1cm} \textbf{Deforestation allegation} \hspace{1cm} Foresthints.com alleged that Unilever is linked to PT BHD (Bintang Harapan Desa) a mill that is claimed to be closely associated with palm oil concession PT PSM (Permata Sawit Mandiri) that itself is alleged to continue “to aggressively eradicate the habitat of the Bornean orangutan in order to develop new palm oil plantations in Indonesian West Kalimantan’s Ketapang regency.”

B12 \hspace{1cm} \textbf{Clearance of protected forest allegation} \hspace{1cm} Greenpeace, Mighty Earth

- **Deforestation allegation**
  - Foresthints.com alleged that Unilever is linked to PT BHD (Bintang Harapan Desa) a mill that is claimed to be closely associated with palm oil concession PT PSM (Permata Sawit Mandiri) that itself is alleged to continue “to aggressively eradicate the habitat of the Bornean orangutan in order to develop new palm oil plantations in Indonesian West Kalimantan’s Ketapang regency.”

- **Clearance of protected forest allegation**
  - Greenpeace Deforestation Case Studies allege ownership linkages between the Hayel Saeed Anam (HSA) Group, Pacific Inter-Link, and four concessions in Boven Digoel, Papua. This report alleges that between 2015-2017 one of the concessions, PT KCP, cleared around 4,000 ha of mixed primary and secondary forest (December 2017).
  - Greenpeace included the HSA Group in a letter to major palm oil buyers alleging that the HSA Group was a non-compliant NDPE palm oil company in May 2018 and again in the report "Final Countdown" (Sept 2018).
  - In a September 2018 letter to Unilever, Mighty Earth raised a grievance in Rapid Response 5 and Rapid Response 7 alleging PT KCP and PT MJR of further deforesting 82ha peat forests between May and August 2018. Mighty Earth alleged four UAE companies believed to be affiliated with the HSA group to have majority share in the four concessions in Boven Digoel, Papua.
  - The allegations, alleged ownership link to the HSA group, and the group’s response to the allegations are raised again in an article by the Gecko Project in November 2018.
  - Greenpeace and EIA raised a complaint to the RSPO against Pacific Inter-Link Sdn Bhd, Pacific Oils & Fats Industries Sdn Bhd, PT.

- **Bintang Harapan Desa (BHD)**
  - Unilever does not have any direct business relationship with Bintang Harapan Desa or Permata Sawit Mandiri.

- **Hayel Saeed Anam (HSA) Group**
  - Unilever does not have any direct business relationship with Pacific Inter-Link and Arma Foods.

- **Unilever’s palm oil supply chain including through indirect supply to Unilever (August 2020).**
  - Unilever has written to direct suppliers that had declared they previously bought directly or indirectly from PT BHD in 2017 regarding these allegations (February 2018).
  - Our direct suppliers indicated to us that they are no longer purchasing from PT BHD and that the palm oil concession PT PSM is no longer connected to PT BHD (December 2018).

- Please refer to the list of Suspended Palm Oil Direct Suppliers or Oil Palm Growers by Unilever (September 2020).
| B11 | Nov 2017 | Mighty Earth, Greenpeace | **Deforestation allegation in Central Kalimantan**  
- Mighty satellite maps and animations of Anglo Eastern Plantation’s clearing at PT Kahayan Agro Plantation (KAP) in Central Kalimantan from Oct. 16, 2016 until Aug. 27, 2017 claimed to evidence an allegation of clearance of 420 ha of forest. Also, allegations that prior to Oct 16, 2017 there were 3,593 ha of forest cleared. Information in [Rapid Response 1](#) (November 2017).  
- Greenpeace included AEP in the “Deforestation Case Studies” (not public) Dec 2017, a letter to major palm oil buyers alleging that they are a non-compliant NDPE palm oil company in May 2018 and again in the report “Final Countdown” (Sept 2018).  
- Allegations of further clearing at PT KAP was raised by Mighty Earth in [Rapid Response 14](#) (April 2019). | **Unilever**  
- Unilever does not have any direct business relationship with Anglo Eastern Plantation.  
- **Anglo Eastern Plantations (AEP)**  
- Unilever reached out to its major direct suppliers that could potentially source from AEP to identify each supplier’s position on the grievance and sourcing from the listed companies (September 2018).  
- GAR has been engaging directly with AEP with regards to HCSA and monitoring the moratorium at PT KAP. Our direct supplier, Wilmar, is also engaging directly with PT AEP.  
- In March 2018, AEP appointed PT Meganesia Tirta Foresta to conduct a HCS assessment at PT KAP and shared the results with our suppliers such as GAR and Wilmar. More information available on Wilmar’s [Grievance List](#).  
- Following indication of new deforestation allegation in 2019, Unilever suppliers have reached out to AEP to request clarification. More information on GAR’s engagement with AEP and HCSA can be found on their [grievance list](#) (May 2020).  
- Unilever met with Anglo-Eastern Plantations in January 2021 to understand the group’s progress with regards to sustainability and ongoing review at the HCSA. | Pacific Indopalm Industries, PT. Pacific Medan Industri, and PT. Pacific Palmindo Industri (HSA group companies). The case was accepted in November 2018 and can be found [here](#). | • In February 2019, PIL issued a [clarification letter](#) to the RSPO complaints panel, with additional details on the circumstances surrounding their links with the Papuan concessions. The letter is currently with the RSPO complainants for review.  
• Unilever continues to engage and have direct meetings with Pacific Interlink with regards to PIL’s sustainability roadmap. The last meeting took place in March 2020. |
| B10 | May 2017 | Greenpeace, Environmental Investigation Agency (EIA), Foresthints.com | **Deforestation allegation**  
- EIA submitted first-ever [grievance](#) against a licensed HCV assessor, for allegedly advising as sustainable the clearance of ca 470,000ha of forests, believed to be controlled by groups such as: Bumitama, First Resources, Noble, PT Austindo Nusantara Jaya and Goodhope (May 2017).  
- Greenpeace Deforestation Case Studies (not disclosed to the public) claimed to illustrate links between the ownership of First Resources, PT Fangiono Agro Plantation and Ciliandry Anky Abadi (CAA) through the Martias Fangiono family. Greenpeace alleged deforestation by CAA’s subsidiary PT Agrindo Ciliandry Anky Abadi (CAA)  
- First Resources (PT Limpah Sejahtera)  
- Unilever does not have any direct business relationship with CAA or First Resources.  
- [Suspended Palm Oil Direct Suppliers or Oil Palm Growers by](#)  
| **Please refer to the list**  
- of Suspended Palm Oil Direct Suppliers or Oil Palm Growers by | **Unilever reached out to First Resources regarding the allegations made by Greenpeace about non-compliance with Unilever’s Sustainable Palm Oil Policy on First Resources linked to the Ciliandry Anky Abadi (January 2018).**  
- **Unilever has stopped buying from First Resources from Q1 2018.**  
- **Unilever reached out to foresthints.com regarding the exact name of the mills allegedly present in Unilever’s mill list linked to the CAA but received no response from foresthints.com (February 2018).**  
- **In September 2018, First Resources clarified through statements linked to their grievance list that none of the companies named are subsidiaries and/or associated companies of First Resources, therefore there are no commercial links between them. First Resources statement on CAA is available [here](#).** |  |
Green Lestari of secondary forest with orangutan habitat (2017).
- Foresthints.com article stated Unilever is linked to the Ciliandry Anky Abadi (CAA) group, owner of palm oil mills (PT TM and PT BKI) responsible for the deforestation of Borneo’s peat forest. There is no clarity to the exact names of these mills but the names of the mills allegedly can be found in Unilever’s 2017 palm oil mill list of direct and indirect suppliers (February 2018).
- Greenpeace included First Resources in a letter to major palm oil buyers alleging that First Resources was a non-compliant NDPE palm oil company in May 2018 and also in the report "Final Countdown" (Sept 2018).

Unilever (September 2020).
- Unilever reached out to our major direct suppliers that potentially sourced from companies from CAA / First Resources to identify each supplier’s position on the grievance and sourcing from the listed companies (September 2018).
- Wilmar reported to Unilever that their last purchase from CAA was in March 2018, as listed on their Grievance List.
- Musim Mas engaged with First Resources through mill verification and later to raise concerns on the allegations. For more information, refer to Musim Mas grievance list.
- In December 2018, a complaint was made to the RSPO alleging that First Resources/PT Limpah Sejahtera withheld information on peat planting as part of the RSPO RaCP Land Use Change Analysis (LUCA) for the compensation calculation, and after reviewing the evidence, the RSPO issued its findings in March 2019 that the LUCA was null and void and had to be repeated.
- In April 2019, Unilever met with First Resources to discuss sustainability roadmap, grievances resolution, leverage on associated companies, and communicating progress.
- The case is transferred to the RSPO Investigation and Monitoring Unit in May 2019. See statement issued by the RSPO Complaints Panel.

• REPSA issued a response to the open NGO letter (May 2017) and a Sustainability Action Plan for 2016-2017. REPSA and TFT have agreed to continue working together in Guatemala around a (1) Sustainability Action Plan, (2) Stakeholder engagement and transparency; (3) Multi-stakeholder workshop/dialogue, and (4) Integrated Social Management System (ISMS). First, second, and final progress reports can be found on their website.

B9 April 2017 Oxfam, Guatemala Human Rights Commission and coalition of NGOs
Alleged spill of toxic effluent in Guatemala
- Allegations of a spill of toxic effluent in Pasión River and threats made to human rights defenders, Guatemala.
  - Oxfam published a blog update on REPSA (April 2017).
  - Open letter from NGOs to all buyers of REPSA (April 2017).
  - Open letter from NGOs to buyers of REPSA (June 2021).

REPSA
- Unilever does not have any direct business relationship with REPSA on a group level, but may be exposed through our direct suppliers.

• REPSA issued a response to the open NGO letter (May 2017) and a Sustainability Action Plan for 2016-2017. REPSA and TFT have agreed to continue working together in Guatemala around a (1) Sustainability Action Plan, (2) Stakeholder engagement and transparency; (3) Multi-stakeholder workshop/dialogue, and (4) Integrated Social Management System (ISMS). First, second, and final progress reports can be found on their website.

• In 2017, Unilever found that REPSA oils potentially came into Unilever’s supply chain via Cargill and Wilmar through a previous palm oil mill declaration of these suppliers they submitted. Unilever reached out to Cargill and Wilmar in January 2018 and both confirmed to Unilever and in their subsequent grievance lists that they have put on hold purchases from REPSA in 2017.
• Cargill engaged with the supplier and stated that it no longer buys from REPSA. Cargill is engaging REPSA on a re-engagement plan (2018). Detailed information on their engagement is available on Cargill’s grievance list.
• Wilmar engaged with the supplier and stated that it no longer buys from REPSA from December 2017. Detailed information on this engagement is available on Wilmar’s Grievance List.
| B8 | January 2017 | Greenpeace, Environmental Investigation Agency | Deforestation allegation
- Greenpeace released the report "Dirty Bankers" making allegations with respect to certain major banks and their financing of palm oil companies (January 2017).
- EIA submitted first-ever grievance against a licensed HCV assessor, for allegedly advising as sustainable the clearance of ca 470,000ha of forests, believed to be controlled by groups such as: Bumitama, First Resources, Noble, PT Austindo Nusantara Jaya and Goodhope (May 2017).
- HSBC requests RSPO to investigate allegations of deforestation by Noble Plantations in Papua; RSPO advises Noble to suspend development on the concession (July 2017).
- Greenpeace release "Palm Oil Alert 4" including allegations concerning Noble, Korindo, and POSCO Daewoo (September 2017).
- Greenpeace included the Noble Group in a letter to major palm oil buyers alleging that the Noble Group was a non-compliant NDPE palm oil company in May 2018 and again in the report “Final Countdown” (Sept 2018).

| | Noble Group | Unilever does not have any direct business relationship with the Noble Group. Please refer to the list of Suspended Palm Oil Direct Suppliers or Oil Palm Growers by Unilever (September 2020).

| | Unilever was made aware of the allegation on non-compliance of Unilever’s Sustainable Palm Oil Policy by Noble Plantation through the Greenpeace “Dirty Bankers” report. Since then, Unilever has engaged with the Noble Group (COFCO) regarding its alleged links to Noble Plantations.
- Unilever decided in October 2017 not to continue business with Noble Natural Resources India (Noble Group/COFCO). Noble published a Sustainability Information Document, and submitted a letter to the complaints panel, citing a “stop work’ order has been put in place in PT Pusaka Agro Lestari (October 2017).
- Unilever’s direct supplier, Musim Mas, is engaging with Noble to follow up on the progress of this sustainability plan. More information available on the Musim Mas grievance list. Their last purchase is in December 2016.
- The RSPO Complaints Panel decided in January 2018 to proceed with independent verification of the assessments conducted by Noble.
- The RSPO Complaints Panel issued a decision letter (February 2019), requesting the company to undergo the Remediation and Compensation Process (RaCP) and submit a remediation plan.
- Noble is resigning from the RSPO (May 2020). RSPO CP issued a letter in response. Unilever is monitoring the complaint resolution progress on the RSPO website.
- Noble is not in Unilever’s indirect supply chain per June 2018.

| B7 | November 2016 | Amnesty International, Environmental Investigation Agency (EIA) | Alleged labor exploitation issues
- BEST group was a supplier of Wilmar with alleged labor exploitation in an Amnesty International Report, “The Great Palm Oil Scandal” (November 2016).
- A Central Kalimantan court ordered the local government to review the plantation permits of companies implicated in the 2015 haze,

| | BEST Group | Unilever does not have any direct business relationship with the BEST Group. Please refer to the list of Suspended Palm Oil Direct Suppliers or Oil Palm Growers by Unilever (September 2020).

| | Unilever sent PT Global Interinti a letter of concern, dated December 2, 2016, on the allegations raised by Amnesty International.
- Unilever stopped buying directly from the BEST Group in May 2016.
- Wilmar put on hold purchases from PT BEST with effect from June 2017.
- We reiterated our request that suppliers exclude BEST from supply to Unilever in April 2020. |
after a case was submitted in 2016: Wilmar, BEST, GAR, and Bumitama included (2017).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>B6</th>
<th>November 2016</th>
<th>Rainforest Action Network (RAN)</th>
<th><strong>Deforestation in Leuser Ecosystem (Aceh) allegation</strong></th>
<th>Direct Suppliers or Oil Palm Growers by Unilever (September 2020).</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>November 2016</td>
<td>Rainforest Action Network (RAN)</td>
<td>RAN released a report &quot;Protecting the Leuser Ecosystem&quot; (2016) alleging illegal deforestation of elephant habitat within the Leuser Ecosystem and mills in the Singkil Bengkung area that are allegedly at risk for sourcing “Conflict Palm Oil”.</td>
<td>PT Surya Panen Subur II Unilever does not have any direct business relationship with PT Surya Panen Subur II (PT SPS II). Please refer to the list of Suspended Palm Oil Direct Suppliers or Oil Palm Growers by Unilever (September 2020).</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The Rainforest Action Network (RAN) through the Leuser Watch campaign published a report alleging that the palm oil company PT Surya Panen Subur II (PT SPS II) was responsible for the destruction of thousands of hectares of the Tripa peat land/forest in Aceh. Initial findings were found in 2014. PT SPS was found guilty by the State Prosecutor’s Office of clearing of peat forests (2016). RAN has further reported allegations that there continues to be evidence of deforestation occurring in January and March 2018 during which heavy machinery was used to clear forest for palm oil development, and in some cases the use of fire.

- Unilever has been engaging with RAN and major direct suppliers, directly and via email.
- Unilever reached out to direct suppliers to understand their sourcing relationships with PT SPS II. Most of Unilever’s direct suppliers have ceased sourcing from PT SPS II, and one is halting new procurement and asking PT SPS II to create and execute a time-bound plan by the end of 2018 (October 2018).
- **GAR** supported PT SPS in their Collaboration for Transformation (CFT) programme, which completed conflict resolution process implementation (2017). For each new allegation in 2015-2018, SPS II confirmed new land clearing and burning and reiterated that the clearance was committed by external parties. GAR and SPS II discussed and agreed upon actions for conflict resolution, which included building and improving communication with “land clearing actors”, local, provincial, and international stakeholders, including RAN. A high level meeting was held between GAR and SPS II, during which GAR asked for a more concrete solution for the ongoing deforestation caused by encroachment in SPS II concession. More information on GAR grievance list (July 2018).
- **Musim Mas** do not list SPS II in their grievance list but have engaged with other suppliers in the Singkil regency (Pati Sari, Sisirau, Mopoli Raya, Ensem Sawita, Ensem Lestari, Bangun Sempura Lestari). More information on Musim Mas’ grievance list.
- **Wilmar** reported that PT SPS II has been transparent in updating Wilmar regularly on their progress in addressing issues raised by RAN, and Wilmar continue to support PT SPS II in addressing challenges of alleged occupation on the unopened area, that was set aside under the moratorium. Wilmar’s last purchase was in June 2018. Field verification by ministry officials (KLHK) and Wilmar’s meeting with PT SPS II took place in July 2018. More information available on Wilmar’s Grievance List.
- **ADM** reached out to supplier Wilmar and are satisfied with their handling of the issue. Source: ADM Grievances and Resolutions Summary Table.
- **Bunge** states it does not directly supply from the area but may be indirectly exposed through traders. In December 2018, PT SPS II is no longer in Bunge’s supply chain. Source: Bunge Loders Croklaan grievance list.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>B4</th>
<th>Sept 2016</th>
<th>Greenpeace, Environmental Investigation Agency (EIA), Foresthints.com, Mighty Earth</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td><strong>Deforestation allegation</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>- In September 2016, Greenpeace report <em>Deadly Trade-off</em> included ANJ for the</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>alleged actions of two of its concessions in West Papua, managed by PT Permata</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Putera Mandiri and PT Putera Manunggal. Both companies are accused in the</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>allegations of destruction of primary forest, development without consultation of</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>local communities and for absence of a FPIC process.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>- EIA submitted first-ever grievance against a licensed HCV assessor, for</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>allegedly advising as sustainable the clearance of ca 470,000ha of forests,</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>believed to be controlled by groups such as: Bumitama, First Resources, Noble, PT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B5</td>
<td>Nov 2016</td>
<td>Rainforest Action Network (RAN)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td><strong>Deforestation in Leuser Ecosystem (Aceh) allegation</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>- RAN released a report <em>Protecting the Leuser Ecosystem</em> (2016) alleging illegal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>deforestation of elephant habitat by PT. ABN (alleged to be connected to Wilmar)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>within the Leuser Ecosystem.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>- This is followed up by another article by RAN (February 2017) and a report (July</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>2017) alleging that PT Agra Bumi Niaga (PT ABN) is responsible for ongoing</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>- Guardian article alleged Unilever and other big brands of “complicity in</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>rainforest destruction” due to oil coming from PT ABN to in the supply chain</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>(2017).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>- Cargill in 2017 requested their direct suppliers (Wilmar, GAR, Musim Mas)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>to share action plans for Leuser monitoring. They have been proactively</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>monitoring the Leuser landscape for mill assessment opportunities and</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>supporting other landscape-level deforestation monitoring &amp; response systems</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>which can strengthen the work in Leuser. Surya Panen Subur II is no longer in</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Cargill’s supply chain. Source: <a href="https://www.cargill.com/corporate/about-us/sustainability-and-governance/grievance-process/">Cargill indirect grievance list</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>- Following concerns of alleged ongoing clearings in the Leuser ecosystem,</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Unilever contacted suppliers to reconfirm PT SPS II is not in their supply chain</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>(May 2019).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>- Unilever wrote to Wilmar, Musim Mas, and GAR regarding the allegations and</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>are monitoring action plans conducted with these traders (2017).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>- PT Ensem Sawita confirmed that all purchases of palm oil from PT ABN has</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>ceased (August 2017). This information, along with key engagements done by GAR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>and Musim Mas are reported by GAR in September 2017.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>- ABN is not in Unilever’s indirect supply chain per June 2018.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>- In February 2020, RAN informed Unilever that they see the adoption of</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>commitment of NDPE and HCV/HCS by <a href="https://www.greenpeace.org/article/340826">Agra Bumi Niaga</a> as a “credible response to</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>address the deforestation previously carried out by the companies, which should</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>trigger a re-entry process.” Unilever does not have any direct contract with</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>any of the above suppliers, but supports our suppliers decision to continue</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>engaging with Agra Bumi Niaga.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>- ANJ adopted a “No Deforestation, No Peat, No Exploitation (NDPE)” policy in</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>November 2016.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>- Unilever wrote to suppliers who had previously declared that they have</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>bought directly or indirectly from ANJ mills regarding these allegations (March</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>2018).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>- Unilever wrote to Foresthints.com, noting that Unilever does not have any</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>direct contracts with the palm oil mills (including those owned by ANJ)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>highlighted in the Foresthints.com articles, and was contacting direct suppliers</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>who declared the mills to find out if they are still currently sourcing from</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>these mills (March 2018).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>- Unilever issued a response letter to Greenpeace in June 2018, outlining views</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>of the key challenges raised i.e. sourcing links with highlighted producer</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>groups, NDPE compliance and verification, and multi-stakeholder approach for</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>industry reform. The letter also included sourcing links and actions taken with</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>regards to each supplier on the list.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

Source: [Deadly Trade-off](https://www.greenpeace.org/article/340826)
Allegations of Labor rights violations

- In June 2016, RAN, OPPUK and ILRF published a report titled, "The Human Cost of Conflict Palm Oil" which alleged that Child labor, exposure to highly hazardous pesticides, payment below the minimum wage, long-term reliance on temporary workers to fill core jobs, and the use of company-backed unions to deter independent labor union activity, among other findings had all occurred on the plantations in Indofood Agri operations.

- Unilever does not have any direct business relationship with the Salim Group/Indofood Agri/Indogunta.

- Please refer to the list of Suspended Palm Oil Direct Suppliers or Oil Palm Growers by Unilever's business relationship with the Salim Group/Indofood Agri/Indogunta.

- Unilever wrote to the Rainforest Action Network (RAN) regarding these allegations and that appropriate steps must be taken by Indofood and monitored by our suppliers who are still sourcing from Indofood (February 2017).

- Indofood Agri uploaded a Sustainability Policy on their website (August 2017).

- Unilever wrote to suppliers who had declared that they have bought directly or indirectly from Indofood mills in 2017 regarding these allegations.

- KLK has put on hold purchases from Inindofood Agri with effect from September 2016. I nline with this protocol, ANJ has committed to a group-wide moratorium and group-wide sustainability/NDPE policy, updated its grievance disclosure, and submitted a report on its HCS clearance liability, target recovery area, and a framework for rehabilitation project. It has also shared concession maps to GAR. (March 2020). GAR has subsequently changed the engagement status with ANJ into "Monitoring" and informed Unilever on the progress (April 2020). More information on GAR grievance list.
- Alleged clearance and labor issues in Indofood Agri were reported again in the Greenpeace report "Deadly Trade-Off" (Sept 2016).
- RAN filed a formal complaint at the RSPO against PT PP London Sumatra and its parent company PT Salim Ivomas Pratama Tbk. (October 2016).
- Greenpeace dismissed new palm oil policy issued by Indofood’s agriculture subsidiary Indofood Agri Resources Ltd, "as inadequate in both substance and scope" (March 2017).
- Greenpeace issued a palm oil alert for Indofood/Salim Group, citing environmental and social issues (May 2017).
- ILRF update reported their follow-up investigations to find "no real changes" to the alleged labor working conditions (August 2017).
- In November 2017, RAN, OPPUK and ILRF published "The Human Cost of Conflict Palm Oil Revisited", detailing new allegations of labor abuses on certified plantations owned by Indofood.

Draining and clearing of peat allegations
- Aidenvironment, commissioned by RAN, published "Palm oil sustainability assessment of Salim-related companies in Borneo peat forests" (April 2018) alleging Salim-linked companies are draining the Ketungau peat swamp in Sintang, West Kalimantan, Indonesia.
  - PT Duta Rendra Mulya (PT DRM)
  - PT Sawit Khatulistiwa Lestari (PT SKL)
- Greenpeace included Indofood Agri and deforestation cases allegedly done by PT DRM and PT SKL in a letter to major palm oil buyers alleging that they are a non-compliant NDPE palm oil company in May 2018 and again in the report "Final Countdown" (Sept 2018). Between April 2015 and March 2018.

Unilever (September 2020).
- Unilever invited all stakeholders to urge both the RSPO and Indofood Agri to prioritise the case and for both parties to report progress publicly, draft and abide by a time-bound action plan, publish verification results, and provide an open channel for communication with all stakeholders (February 2018).
- Unilever issued a public statement on the allegations against the Salim Groups stating that Unilever asked our suppliers to ensure that no palm oil sourced by them comes from the Salim Group companies (May 2018).
- RSPO field verification planned for June 2018 (this was halted by complainants not revealing exact location, but Indofood Agri have sent a Non-reprisal to the RSPO confirming that there will be no intimidation of workers/complainants).
- Musim Mas announced a temporary cessation in business relationship with Indofood Agri since August 2018. More information is available on Musim Mas website (September 2018).
- Unilever met with RAN to discuss the concerns (October 2018).
- RSPO Complaints Panel issued a Final Decision Letter (November 2018). The letter followed an independent verification at mill and plantations of PT Lonsum in April 2018. It reported several findings that RSPO urged Indofood Agri to resolve in 3-6 months.
- In November 2018, Unilever issued a public statement responding to the decision of the RSPO Complaints Panel.
- Musim Mas, Unilever, and several other suppliers and buyers issued an open letter to the RSPO, asking for improvements to the complaints system, especially with regards to clarity and transparency. This follows RSPO’s decision letter on the Indofood Agri case. The letter can be accessed here (November 2018).
- In January and February of 2019, Indofood Agri companies (PT Salim Ivomas Pratama and PT PP London Sumatra Indonesia) issued letters of resignation from the RSPO membership. In the same month, RSPO issued a letter of termination of membership of the two companies.
- No Indogunta group mills are present in Unilever supply chain in 2019. Unilever listed mills and plantations identified as belonging to the Indogunta group in a Suspended Suppliers List to suppliers (April 2020).
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>#</th>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Groups/Coalition</th>
<th>Allegations of labor rights violations and deforestation</th>
<th>Deforestation and NDPE non-compliances allegation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>B2</td>
<td>May 2016</td>
<td>Chain Reaction Research (CRR), Foresthints, Greenpeace</td>
<td></td>
<td>In a sustainability benchmark report of palm oil growers listed on the Indonesian stock exchange, Chain Reaction Research presented drone imagery recording alleged active deforestation in PT MPP in May 2016, part of the CBI group/PT SSMS. Chain Reaction Research release new report &quot;Sawit Sumbermas Sarana: Supplying the Palm Oil Leakage Market, Risks for Purchase&quot; (June 2017). Foresthints.com reported allegations that PT BSG, a subsidiary of PT SSMS was developing new palm oil plantations in sections of targeted peat restoration areas. (April 2018). Greenpeace included SSMS in a letter to major palm oil buyers alleging that SSMS was a non-compliant NDPE palm oil company in May 2018 and again in the report &quot;Final Countdown&quot; (Sept 2018).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Citra Borneo Indah (CBI) Group/Sawit Sumbermas Sarana (SSMS) Unilever does not have any direct business relationship with CBI/SSMS.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Following the independent verification process conducted by Daemeter to review the allegations against Citra Borneo Indah (CBI)/PT SSMS, Unilever concluded that CBI / SSMS was not in full compliance with Unilever’s Sustainable Palm Oil Policy. Unilever has not bought palm oil directly from SSMS since June 2017 and earlier issued a public statement regarding Unilever’s position on this grievance. In September 2017, SSMS released a Sustainability Policy (NDPE) and is developing a roadmap to comply with new policy. The SSMS group undertook assessments of the areas reported by Foresthints.com and published a response on their website. SSMS investigation confirmed that the alleged development took place outside BSG’s permit (izin lakas) at the request of local smallholders. An assessment by Sulung Research Station concluded the area in question was not peatland. PT BSG has ceased land clearance in the area and PT SSMS is working with the Peat Restoration Agency (BRG) to clarify peat mapping and soil composition. Unilever supplier Bunge has stopped sourcing from PT SSMS, as is indicated on their grievance list (accessed February 2019). Unilever continues to monitor progress and engage directly with SSMS in the action plans and remediation plans relating to the allegations, and had since met with SSMS and its sustainability partner Daemeter in September 2019 and January 2020. SSMS updated Unilever on its roadmap to be 100% RSPO certified by 2020. More information available here.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B1</td>
<td>October 2015</td>
<td>Coalition of civil society groups, Greenpeace, Chain Reaction Research</td>
<td></td>
<td>FELDA/Felda Global Ventures (FGV) Unilever does not have any direct business relationship with FELDA/FGV.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Please refer to the list of Suspended Palm Oil Direct Suppliers or Oil Palm Growers by Unilever (September 2020).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Deforestation on Peat Allegations</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Unilever engaged IFFCO (Malaysia) Bhd. and FELDA-IFFCO regarding allegations by Greenpeace through the July 2017 Palm Oil Alert. FGV informed on the enhancement of the peat protection clause in the Group's Sustainability Policy (August 2017). Unilever reached out after receiving a report by Greenpeace about their alleged non-compliance with Unilever’s Sustainable Palm Oil Policy (January 2018). Unilever no longer sources directly from Felda-IFFCO since January 2018, but continued to engage with FGV and IFFCO to discuss their sustainability plans (August - November 2018). FGV published sustainability updates in January and April 2018, which contain updates on FGV’s Social Compliance and Human Rights action plan and Peat Restoration Master Plan. FGV Announced that it had submitted a detailed Peat Restoration Plan for approval by Indonesia’s Ministry of Environment and Forestry (KLHK).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Please refer to the list of Suspended Palm Oil Direct Suppliers or Oil Palm Growers by Unilever (September 2020).</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
require Felda to publicly release a Policy on Employment of Migrant Labor and Corrective Action Plan within three months (September 2016).

- Allegations that FGV continued to clear peat forest at PT TAA in West Kalimantan. Drone images alleged to show ongoing deforestation (April 2017). A case is logged at the RSPO Complaints Panel.

- Greenpeace released a Palm Oil Alert on Felda allegations and calls for measures to be taken by companies (July 2017).

- Greenpeace included FELDA/FGV in a letter to major palm oil buyers alleging that FELDA/FGV was a non-compliant NDPE palm oil company in May 2018 and also in the report “Final Countdown” (Sept 2018).

- RSPO Complaints Panel issued a decision letter following an independent verification visit (November 2018).

- Unilever’s supplier, KLK, met with FGV in December 2018 and updated that peat restoration has started in the area categorized under “Protection”, and there will be no replanting in this area. For existing peat under ‘Economic’ category, their hydrological function would be maintained. More information on KLK’s Grievance List.

- Unilever is also monitoring progress through supplier Sime Darby, which has indicated it purchased from FGV (not from its Indonesian operations) and is engaging with FGV directly. Information is available on Sime Darby’s Grievance Register (April 2019).

- Cargill continues to directly engage with FGV. FGV provided an update that they are mediating community pressure for development and stepping up monitoring for community fires and encroachment in Kalimantan. More information on Cargill’s direct supplier Grievance Log (accessed April 2019).

- RSPO Complaints Panel issued a decision letter with regards to the 1,600 ha peat clearing in Kalimantan. PT TAA to carry out a new soil survey and report on engagement with aggrieved communities within 30 and 60 days respectively (October 2019). Survey plan had been submitted.

- RSPO Complaints Panel issued a letter responding to the Respondent’s proposal for soil survey (July 2020). Complaint is closed and transferred to the Investigation and Monitoring Unit (IMU) for monitoring (August 2020).

**Labor issues allegations**

- FELDA/FGV submitted an action plan to the RSPO, and RSPO Secretariat formulated a verification exercise (2016-2017).

- Unilever engaged with IFFCO (Malaysia) Bhd. and FELDA-IFFCO regarding allegations brought by Greenpeace through the July 2017 Palm Oil Alert.

- Unilever reached out after receiving a report by Greenpeace about their alleged non-compliance with Unilever’s Sustainable Palm Oil Policy (January 2018).


- Unilever no longer sources directly from Felda-IFFCO and IFFCO since January 2018, but continued to engage with FGV and IFFCO to discuss their sustainability plans (August - November 2018).

- RSPO Complaints Panel delivered a decision letter to complainants. In a response to the decision, FGV stated that it has “frozen all
new recruitment of workers from external contractors across its operations, with immediate effect.” (November 2018)

- Unilever’s supplier, KLK, met with FGV in December 2018 and updated on the labour rights allegation highlighted in the RSPO Complaints Panel Decision Letter, FGV confirmed that it is preparing an action plan, targeted to be ready and made public by February 2019. More information on KLK’s Grievance List.

- RSPO Complaints Panel closed the case on labor conditions, and granted FGV’s request for an extension of time to submit an Action Plan and quarterly progress report (February – March 2019).


- FGV submitted request for certificate suspension lifting. RSPO lifted the suspension on Serting Complex (August – September 2019).

- Fair Labor Association (FLA) announced affiliation of FGV (November 2019). Action plan targeted to be published by end of March 2020. FLA will publish reports documenting the activities against the deliverables outlined in the action plan, first in Q3 of 2020, and second by Q1 of 2021.

- RSPO Complaints Panel issued a decision letter on FGV. FGV published a response to the RSPO Complaints Panel decision letter, attaching auditors’ findings from its October 2019 assessments (January 2020).

- FGV released its Action Plan and first quarterly progress report, developed in affiliation with the Fair Labor Association (FLA) (April 2020). Background and summary of the action plan published here. This plan is subject to independent assessment by the FLA.

- FGV submitted an action plan and progress report to the RSPO with a note on revised timeline (July 2020).

- FLA Assessment Reports on the Implementation of FGV’s Action Plan to Enhance Labour Practices are published on the FLA website (March 2021).